ITJ | The International Tax Journal

AI-Driven Credit Scoring and Risk Assessment in Banks: Trends, Opportunities, and Challenges

Sangjukta Halder and **Dr. Renuka Deshmukh**

[1] Research Scholar, School of Management-PG, Faculty of Management, Dr. Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University, Survey No. 124, Paud Rd, Kothrud, Pune, Maharashtra 411038
Email: sangjukta.ch@gmail.com

[2] Associate Professor, School of Business, Dr. Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University, Survey No. 124, Paud Rd, Kothrud, Pune, Maharashtra 411038
Email: renuka.deshmukh@mitwpu.edu.in

Abstract---This paper analyzes the transformational shift in the banking sector from traditional credit risk assessment methods to advanced models driven by Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML). Conventional statistical models, such as logistic regression, are increasingly recognized as inadequate; they are often static, rely on narrow historical datasets, and systematically exclude "thin-file" or unbanked populations, particularly in developing economies. This review synthesizes the evolution of credit scoring, identifying three key technological trends redefining risk assessment: 1) the integration of alternative data sources (such as bank transaction data, utility payments, and digital footprints) to achieve financial inclusion; 2) the transition from static snapshots to real-time, dynamic scoring for proactive risk management; and 3) the advent of hyper-personalization in designing credit products. This shift presents a critical duality. On one hand, AI offers significant opportunities, including enhanced predictive accuracy, improved profitability, and the ability to extend formal credit to previously underserved populations. On the other hand, it introduces profound challenges, most notably the risk of amplifying systemic algorithmic bias, the complexities of regulatory compliance (such as the GDPR's "right to explanation"), and the inherent opacity of the "black box" problem. This paper concludes that the optimal path forward is not full automation but a hybrid "human-in-the-loop" (HITL) framework. We recommend that banks prioritize robust data governance, implement continuous bias auditing, and integrate Explainable AI (XAI) tools to balance technological innovation with the ethical imperative for fairness and transparency.

Keywords---Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), Challenges.

How to Cite:
Halder, S., & Deshmukh, R. (2025). AI-Driven credit scoring and risk assessment in banks: Trends, opportunities, and challenges. *The International Tax Journal*, *52*(5), 1986–1993. Retrieved from https://internationaltaxjournal.online/index.php/itj/article/view/213

The International tax journal ISSN: 0097-7314 E-ISSN: 3066-2370 © 2025

Submitted: 27 July 2025 | Revised: 09 August 2025 | Accepted: 18 September 2025

1. Introduction

The banking industry worldwide is undergoing a radical digital reinvention that's redefining the way financial services are provided. At the center of this transformation is the essential task of credit risk valuation, an exercise that (until now) has been largely dominated by traditional, formulaic methods. The bedrock of lending decisions for decades have been traditional credit scoring models, embodied by the FICO score and based on statistical methods such as logistic regression. Although these models offered a standardized and understandable approach, there was a widely acknowledged recognition of their limitations in an era of growing information or data (Hand & Henley, 1997). As they focus on analyzing a narrow dataset pertaining only to historical credit information, they tend to be stagnant and retrospective, usually mispricing risk and omitting 'thin-file' people'a considerable segment of the population globally who do not possess a formal credit history (Jagtiani & Lemieux, 2019).

To tackle the modern challenges of credit scoring, banks have begun to adopt and formulate models based on artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML). Path-breaking research pioneered the notion that ML algorithms have the potential to capture intricate, deeply embedded nonlinear relations in the data and greatly improve the outcomes when utilized as opposed to conventional methods (Khandani et al., 2010). AI models can shift the paradigm of lending to be more accurate, inclusive, and dynamic, as they use extensive datasets ranging from banking records to alternative digital-created data. This promotes better outcomes, as the models provide a more comprehensive picture of the individual, unbounded by the constraints of historical credit data.

The author recognizes that despite the amazing capabilities novel AI-powered credit models can bring to predictions and the possibilities towards fostering inclusive finance systems, their use still comes with difficult questions around algorithmic discrimination, compliance with regulations, and the 'black box' issue. As AI applications can be trained on biased, problematic data, the AI systems can certainly sustain and even aggravate discrimination within lending (Barocas & Selbst, 2016). When dealing with regulatory frameworks such as the GDPR in the EU, which provides a 'right to explanation' in automated decision making (Goodman & Flaxman, 2017), the lack of clarity concerning the reasoning behind the workings of the majority of ML systems is in contradiction to the basic principles of machine learning. As such, the use of AI systems in credit risk assessment and management requires a shift towards responsible innovation, that considers ethical aspects as much as technological progress.

In exploring this landscape, the first section of the paper outlines the transition from statistical to AI-based credit scoring. Next, it describes the primary technological changes, including the use of alternative data and real-time analytics, driving this change. Afterward, the paper takes a deep dive and critically analyzes the far-reaching consequences of this change, offsetting the prospects of greater accuracy and financial inclusion with the grave challenges of bias and lack of transparency. The last section focuses on the rest of the paper, trying to assess the prospects of AI in credit scoring and chalking out guidance for banks and regulators in this uncharted territory.

Literature Review

The Evolution of Credit Scoring

Credit scoring has achieved integration in the modern banking system, to view the historical development of credit scoring methods. An integral aspect of banking has always been the assignment of credit scores, which has been done using logistic regression, credit scorecards, rule based models, and linear discriminant analysis (LDA) scores. All these models have functioned seamlessly for applicants with pre-existing credit history; however, these models face challenges and fail to serve the new customers, particularly from the Indian context, which is considered to be underbanked and unbanked (Purohit et al, 2012) and attains the worst thin credit history in the world. These regressive models lack the efficiency to churn the borrower data to find underlying complex, non-linear relationships and patterns, which is pivoted by advanced AI and Machine learning (ML) models (Mittal et al, 2011).

Overview of Traditional Models

Traditionally, credit scoring has relied on methods such as logistic regression and standardized credit scorecards (Purohit et al., 2012). These approaches utilize financial and demographic variables - income, age, employment status; to assign a score indicating the probability of default. While these models have demonstrated effectiveness, they encounter significant limitations when faced with complex or high-dimensional datasets and are typically unable to incorporate alternative information sources, such as behavioral or transactional data (Müller, 2015). Moreover, traditional models frequently exhibit biases related to geographic location, income disparity, and lack of formal credit access, limiting their applicability in contexts like India, where informal credit is widespread (Chakrabarty & Singh, 2017).

Introduction of AI/ML in Credit Scoring

Recently, artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) methodologies have garnered attention for their potential to transform credit scoring. These techniques including artificial neural networks (ANNs), decision trees, support vector machines (SVMs), and ensemble models like Random Forest and XGBoost, excel at analyzing large, complex datasets and modeling intricate relationships, thereby improving the accuracy of default risk predictions (Kumar & Gunjan, 2020; Uphade et al., 2024). Furthermore, AI/ML models can leverage alternative data sources, such as social media behavior, mobile phone activity, web scraping, and e-commerce transactions, to assess creditworthiness, thereby extending credit scoring to populations previously excluded from formal financial systems (Zeng et al., 2019). This integration not only enhances predictive accuracy but also facilitates financial inclusion, particularly for individuals lacking traditional credit histories.

Review of Global Literature on AI in Risk Modeling

On a global scale, AI has significantly advanced risk modeling within banking and finance. Empirical studies indicate that machine learning models consistently outperform traditional logistic regression approaches in credit risk assessment (Müller, 2015). The International Monetary Fund (2019) has emphasized that AI-driven credit scoring offers more robust and dynamic risk evaluations by utilizing alternative data and capturing non-linear patterns that conventional models overlook. Additionally, AI models can continually adapt through ongoing learning, further refining their predictive capabilities in rapidly evolving financial contexts (Fuster et al., 2019). In India, this paradigm shift is evident in the growing adoption of AI by digital lenders and fintech firms, which increasingly provide micro-loans and small business credit to underserved groups, including self-employed individuals, farmers, and students with limited or nonexistent credit history (Dvara Research, 2020). Collectively, these developments are reshaping the credit landscape by moving from traditional, exclusionary banking models toward a more inclusive, data-driven approach to credit risk assessment.
Certainly! Here's a more academic take on the revised content, but keeping it natural and not too stiff (because, honestly, who wants to read a robot?):

Review of Indian Literature on AI in Risk Modeling

AI-driven credit risk modeling in India has seen considerable growth, especially in evaluating the creditworthiness of populations that traditional models often overlook such as rural residents, small business owners, and young borrowers (Chakrabarty & Singh, 2017). Scholars have highlighted AI's significant potential to advance financial inclusion by leveraging alternative data sources within credit scoring frameworks (Mittal et al., 2011).

Kumar and Gunjan (2020) emphasize that AI models can substantially improve the accuracy of loan default predictions across India's diverse socio-economic landscape. This, in turn, enables more targeted lending and reduces default rates. Practical applications are evident in fintech firms like Lendingkart, which utilizes AI-driven underwriting systems that incorporate GST records, transactional data, and even social media activity to assess creditworthiness (Dvara Research, 2020). This approach has enabled access to formal credit for previously underserved borrowers with no established credit histories.

Recent empirical studies reinforce these findings. For instance, Uphade et al. (2024) tested a variety of machine learning algorithms including random forests and support vector machines on Indian loan datasets, demonstrating that these models outperform traditional methods in terms of accuracy and precision. The evidence suggests that ensemble methods, in particular, deliver superior predictive performance compared to conventional credit risk models, which often exhibit bias and are slow to adapt to new data.

Key Insights from the Literature

A review of academic research on AI-based credit scoring in India reveals several notable trends:

- Machine learning algorithms outperform traditional credit scoring models, both in terms of predictive accuracy and speed (Purohit et al., 2012).
- Neural networks and ensemble methods, such as random forests, are especially effective at analyzing complex and large-scale datasets (Uphade et al., 2024).
- Incorporating alternative data, ranging from social media activity to mobile phone usage, is crucial for expanding credit access to unbanked and underserved populations (Chakrabarty & Singh, 2017).
- The adoption of AI and machine learning models has improved financial inclusion by reducing reliance on formal credit histories and enabling more nuanced lending decisions (Dvara Research, 2020).
- Notwithstanding these benefits, significant challenges remain, particularly in relation to data privacy, algorithmic bias, and regulatory compliance (Zeng et al., 2019).

2. The Evolution of Credit Risk Assessment Methods

Creditworthiness assessment methods have evolved dramatically, transitioning from heuristic-based approaches to sophisticated, data-driven algorithms. This progression can be characterized by distinct technological eras.

2.1 The Era of Statistical Scoring

The foundation for systematic credit scoring was established by traditional statistical models, with logistic regression becoming the industry standard in the latter part of the 20th century. This model predicts the probability of default based on variables like income, outstanding debt, and payment history (Hand & Henley, 1997). The transparency and interpretability of such models have long appealed to regulators. Yet, their core limitation lies in their assumption of linear relationships between variables and outcomes a simplification that often proves inadequate for capturing the complexities of real-world financial behavior (Lessmann et al., 2015).

2.2 The Machine Learning Disruption

Recognizing the constraints of linear models, researchers and financial institutions began to adopt more advanced techniques from the field of machine learning. Khandani et al. (2010) provided early large-scale empirical evidence that machine learning algorithms can significantly outperform traditional credit risk models, marking a paradigm shift. Early machine learning approaches such as decision trees, support vector machines, and ensemble methods like random forests, consistently demonstrated improved predictive accuracy by uncovering complex, non-linear patterns within high-dimensional data

(Lessmann et al., 2015). More recently, gradient boosting machines (GBMs), with implementations such as XGBoost, have become widely adopted in industry due to their superior performance.
In summation, the integration of AI and machine learning into credit risk modeling in India represents a significant advancement, particularly for enhancing financial inclusion and addressing the needs of previously underserved populations. While the technology brings clear benefits in accuracy and reach, it also poses new technical, ethical, and regulatory challenges that warrant careful attention.

3. Key Trends in AI-Driven Risk Assessment

The integration of artificial intelligence into credit risk assessment is not a discrete event but rather a continuous and accelerating shift, fundamentally altering the nature of data, speed, and decision-making processes in the lending sector.

3.1 Trend 1: The Expansion of Alternative Data

One of the most significant shifts is the movement beyond conventional financial indicators such as previous loan performance and reported income to embrace a broader array of alternative data sources. Alternative data refers to information not typically captured by major credit bureaus. Examples include real-time bank transaction data, which enables AI systems to analyze cash flow and assess financial stability with greater nuance. Additionally, consistent utility and rent payment records have emerged as reliable proxies for financial responsibility. More recently, aspects of an individual's digital footprint (for example, e-commerce activity or patterns of mobile device usage) are being investigated as potential predictors of credit risk, although these raise notable privacy and ethical concerns (Berg et al., 2020).
The primary impact of incorporating alternative data is its potential to increase financial inclusion. By enabling the construction of a more comprehensive profile, AI-driven models can assess the creditworthiness of individuals who have traditionally been excluded from the credit system, those who are “unbanked” or possess only a “thin file.” Empirical evidence from modern fintech lending platforms suggests that this approach can broaden credit access without significantly increasing default rates (Jagtiani & Lemieux, 2019).

3.2 Trend 2: Real-Time and Dynamic Scoring

A second major trend is the progression from static, point-in-time credit assessments to ongoing, real-time risk evaluation. Conventional credit scoring captures a single risk snapshot at the moment of application. In contrast, dynamic scoring enabled by advances in data infrastructure and Open Banking APIs allows AI systems to process streaming, up-to-date information, such as daily transaction data, and immediately reflect any changes in the borrower’s financial status. For instance, an increase in income or a sudden frequency of late payments can be promptly incorporated into the risk profile, rather than awaiting periodic updates (Varma, 2019).
The implications are substantial. For lenders, this facilitates a proactive approach to risk management, allowing for early identification of financial distress and timely intervention. For consumers, it enables quicker loan approvals and the possibility of dynamic credit limits that adjust in response to demonstrated financial behavior, aligning risk and reward more closely and in near real time.

3.3 Trend 3: Hyper-Personalization of Credit Products

The culmination of expansive data and real-time analytics is the advent of hyper-personalized credit offerings. This development moves the industry away from standardized, one-size-fits-all products toward individualized loan terms tailored to each borrower’s circumstances.
AI-driven personalization leverages detailed and dynamic risk profiles to adjust not only the interest rate but also the loan amount, repayment tenure, and payment frequency (such as bi-weekly versus monthly schedules) to best match the borrower’s cash flow patterns (Bholat, 2018).
The resulting benefits are twofold: customers receive more suitable and affordable products, enhancing satisfaction and loyalty, while lenders are able to price risk more accurately and reduce defaults by

designing repayment structures that borrowers can realistically manage. This transition redefines lending as a personalized service rather than a mere commodity.

4. Implications for the Banking Sector and Society

The application of AI in credit risk assessment represents a strategic transformation, not simply a technological enhancement. While the opportunities for improved efficiency and broader inclusion are considerable, they are paralleled by significant concerns regarding fairness, transparency, and security. Addressing these challenges will require deliberate and collaborative efforts from both financial institutions and regulatory bodies.

4.1 Opportunity: Enhanced Accuracy and Profitability

A primary commercial advantage of AI-based credit models lies in their superior predictive accuracy. Machine learning algorithms can identify subtle and complex patterns in data, resulting in more reliable risk assessments and, ultimately, improved profitability for lenders.

5. Future Outlook and Recommendations

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into credit risk assessment is not a foregone conclusion; it requires deliberate efforts from both financial institutions and regulators. The objective is not to pit human intuition against machine learning, but to develop a collaborative framework in which both can contribute effectively.

5.1 The Future is Hybrid: A Human-in-the-Loop Approach

A fully automated system is unlikely to be the optimal path forward. Instead, the most promising approach is a hybrid, "human-in-the-loop" (HITL) model. In this arrangement, AI systems process extensive datasets, uncover intricate patterns, and generate risk scores. Importantly, these systems must also provide clear, interpretable explanations for their recommendations leveraging explainable AI (XAI) tools such as SHAP and LIME to meet transparency requirements.

Despite advances in AI, final decisions especially in complex or ambiguous cases should remain the responsibility of human loan officers. This framework harnesses the computational efficiency and data-driven insights of AI, while preserving the contextual awareness and ethical considerations that human professionals bring to the process (Dolega et al., 2022). The intention is to augment, rather than replace, human judgment to achieve more consistent, informed, and equitable outcomes.

5.2 Recommendations for Banks

To effectively navigate this evolving landscape, banks must look beyond the acquisition of new technologies and focus on developing a comprehensive and ethical ecosystem for their AI models.

- Strengthen Data Governance and Continuous Bias Auditing: Banks should treat data as both a critical asset and a potential risk. This entails establishing robust governance protocols to ensure data quality and traceability. To mitigate algorithmic bias, ongoing auditing frameworks must be implemented and regularly employed to monitor model performance across diverse demographic groups, both prior to deployment and throughout the model lifecycle (Raji et al., 2020).
- Prioritize Explainability with XAI Tools: Explainability is essential for regulatory compliance and internal trust. Banks should invest in XAI technologies, integrating tools like SHAP and LIME into their workflows. This not only addresses transparency requirements but also supports effective model validation and oversight.
- Cultivate an Ethical AI Culture: Technology alone is insufficient without a strong ethical foundation. Banks should establish cross-functional AI ethics committees, provide ongoing training for both technical and business staff on bias and fairness, and clearly articulate ethical principles to govern AI development and deployment (Morley et al., 2020).

In summary, the responsible adoption of AI in credit risk assessment demands a hybrid approach, rigorous data practices, explainability, and a sustained commitment to ethical standards.

References

1. Ala'raj, M., Abbod, M. F., Majdalawieh, M., & Jum'a, L. (2022). A deep learning model for credit-card fraud detection based on recurrent neural network. *Journal of Applied Security Research, 17*(4), 480–501. https://doi.org/10.1080/19361610.2021.1969395
2. Barocas, S., & Selbst, A. D. (2016). Big Data's disparate impact. *California Law Review, 104*(3), 671–732.[1]
3. Berg, T., Burg, V., Gombović, A., & Puri, M. (2020). On the rise of fintechs: Credit scoring using digital footprints. *The Review of Financial Studies, 33*(7), 2845–2897. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhz099
4. Bholat, D. M. (2018). *Artificial intelligence and bank credit* (Bank of England Staff Working Paper No. 760). Bank of England. https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/working-paper/2018/artificial-intelligence-and-bank-credit
5. Chen, T., & Guestrin, C. (2016). XGBoost: A scalable tree boosting system.[2] In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining* (pp. 785–794). ACM. https://doi.org/10.1145/2939672.2939785
6. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. (2022). *CFPB Circular 2022-03: Adverse action notification requirements in connection with credit decisions based on complex algorithms.*[3] https://www.consumerfinance.gov/compliance/circulars/circular-2022-03-adverse-action-notification-requirements-in-connection-with-credit-decisions-based-on-complex-algorithms/
7. Dolega, K., Tsvetkova, N., & Zhuravleva, N. (2022). Human-in-the-loop AI in banking: A research agenda. *Procedia Computer Science, 214*, 457–464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2022.11.199
8. Financial Conduct Authority. (2017). *Regulatory sandbox lessons learned report.* https://www.fca.org.uk/publication/research-and-data/regulatory-sandbox-lessons-learned-report.pdf
9. Fuster, A., Goldsmith-Pinkham, P., Ramadorai, T., & Walther, A. (2022). Predictably unequal? The effects of machine learning on credit markets. *The Journal of Finance, 77*(1), 5–47. https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.13090
10. Goodman, B., & Flaxman, S. (2017). European Union regulations on algorithmic decision-making and a 'right to explanation'. *AI Magazine, 38*(3), 50–57. https://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v38i3.2741
11. Hand, D. J., & Henley, W. E. (1997). Statistical classification methods in consumer credit scoring: a review. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society), 160*(3), 523–541. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-985X.1997.00078.x
12. Jagtiani, J., & Lemieux, C. (2019). The roles of alternative data and machine learning in fintech lending: Evidence from the LendingClub consumer platform. *Financial Management, 48*(4), 1009–1029. https://doi.org/10.1111/fima.12295
13. Ke, G., Meng, Q., Finley, T., Wang, T., Chen, W., Ma, W., ... & Liu, T. (2017).[4] LightGBM: A highly efficient gradient boosting decision tree.[5] In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30* (pp. 3146–3154).
14. Khandani, A. E., Kim, A. J., & Lo, A. W. (2010).[6] Consumer credit-risk models via machine-learning algorithms. *Journal of Banking & Finance, 34*(11), 2767–2787. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2010.06.001
15. Lessmann, S., Baesens, B., Seow, H. V., & Thomas, L. C. (2015). Benchmarking state-of-the-art classification algorithms for credit scoring: An update of research. *European Journal of Operational Research, 247*(1), 124–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2015.05.030
16. Lundberg, S. M., & Lee, S. I. (2017). A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30* (pp. 4765–4774).

17. McKinsey Global Institute. (2019). *AI and the future of banking.* https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/financial-services/our-insights/ai-and-the-future-of-banking
18. Morley, J., Machado, C. C., Burr, C., Cowls, J., Joshi, I., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2020).[7] The ethics of AI in health care: A mapping review. *Social Science & Medicine, 260*, 113172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113172
19. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2019). *Recommendation of the Council on Artificial Intelligence* (OECD/LEGAL/0449). https://legalinstruments.oecd.org/en/instruments/OECD-LEGAL-0449
20. Raji, I. D., Smart, A., White, R. N., Mitchell, M., Gebru, T., Hutchinson, B., ... & Barnes, P. (2020). Closing the AI accountability gap: Auditing and documenting datasets and models. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency* (pp. 33–45). ACM. https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372873
21. Ribeiro, M. T., Singh, S., & Guestrin, C. (2016). "Why Should I Trust You?": Explaining the predictions of any classifier. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining* (pp. 1135–1144). ACM. https://doi.org/10.1145/2939672.2939778
22. Varma, A. (2019). Big data analytics in digital banking. In *Innovations in Computer Science and Engineering* (pp. 499–506). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-7082-3_55
23. World Bank. (2022). *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial inclusion, digital payments, and resilience in the age of COVID-19.*[8] https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/globalfindex