

Roman Empire's strategies of control and integration in North Africa

Gueffaf Bachir ¹

¹ University of Ibn Khaldoun, Tiaret, Algeria

Laboratory of philosophical studies of human and community issues in Algeria

Email: bachir.gueffaf@univ-tiaret.dz

Abstract---This study examines the complex and multifaceted strategies implemented by Rome to establish, maintain, and consolidate its control over North Africa from the second century BCE to late antiquity. The Roman Empire employed an integrated system that combined military conquest, administrative organization, economic exploitation, cultural assimilation, and social cooperation. Through the creation of colonies, the spread of Latin language and law, the integration of local elites, and the development of agricultural and urban infrastructures, Rome succeeded in transforming North Africa into one of its most prosperous and stable provinces. However, this process of control and integration was neither uniform nor permanent. While urban centers experienced deep Romanization, rural populations retained local traditions and autonomy, creating a dual social and cultural structure. The study concludes that Rome's success in North Africa rested on a pragmatic balance between domination and collaboration—an approach that ensured centuries of stability but also exposed the limits of imperial integration during times of crisis.

Keywords---Roman Empire, North Africa, Romanization, administration, economy, social structure, imperial strategy.

I-Roman Military Strategy in the Conquest and Control of North Africa

From the fall of Carthage in 146 BCE, North Africa became a strategic region for the Roman Empire (Lancel, 1992, p. 312). Situated at the crossroads of the Mediterranean and the Sahara, it represented at once a zone of agricultural resources, a defensive military area, and a point of contact with the Berber peoples of the south (Le Bohec, 2012, p. 21). Roman domination over this region did not occur

How to Cite:

Bachir, G. (2025). Roman empire strategies of control and integration in North Africa. *The International Tax Journal*, 52(6), 4007–4016. Retrieved from <https://internationaltaxjournal.online/index.php/itj/article/view/393>

The International tax journal ISSN: 0097-7314 E-ISSN: 3066-2370 © 2025

ITJ is open access and licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

Submitted: 10 January 2025 | Revised: 15 March 2025 | Accepted: 03 May 2025

overnight: it was the result of a complex military strategy combining conquests, fortifications, local alliances, and economic integration (Mattingly, 2011, p. 57).

1. The Foundations of Roman Military Strategy

Rome's policy of conquest in North Africa began after the destruction of Carthage, an event marking the end of the Punic Wars and the establishment of the province of Africa Proconsularis (Lepelley, 2001, p. 48). Rome's objectives were twofold: to eliminate any rival power in the western Mediterranean and to secure the supply of wheat and olive oil to the metropolis (Le Bohec, 1994, p. 93). At first, Roman armies concentrated along the coast, where they established garrisons and fortified ports. Soon, however, the need to secure the hinterland drove them to pursue a policy of penetration into Numidia and Mauretania (Desanges, 1962, p. 67). This expansion was accompanied by the construction of a network of military roads and fortified castella, ensuring efficient logistical control of the territory (Morizot, 1998, p. 104).

2. The Defensive System: The African Limes

To stabilize their domination, the Romans established a vast frontier defense system known as the limes (Whittaker, 1994, p. 112). Two major lines can be distinguished: the Limes Numidiae, located in present-day Algeria, and the Limes Tripolitanus, in Libya (Mattingly, 1995, p. 86). These structures enabled the surveillance of borders and the control of economic exchanges, particularly caravan routes (Trousset, 1986, p. 72). Around these fortifications developed military towns such as Timgad (Thamugadi) and Djemila (Cuicul), true showcases of Romanization (Slim, 1994, p. 349).

3. Indigenous Resistance and the Roman Response

Despite the efficiency of the military system, the Romans had to face several local revolts, the most significant of which was that of Tacfarinas (17–24 CE) (Le Glay, 1966, p. 88). This former auxiliary soldier waged a guerrilla war, exploiting the mobility of the Berber tribes against the rigidity of the legions (Mattingly, 1989, p. 54). Rome responded with a war of attrition, combining siege tactics and the encirclement of mountainous areas (Le Bohec, 1988, p. 210). This resistance illustrates the difficulty of Roman control in the regions of the Aurès and the desert, where nomadic tribes maintained strong autonomy (Desanges, 1962, p. 114).

4. Consequences of the Military Strategy

The Roman strategy succeeded in imposing lasting stability on the agricultural and coastal zones (Gsell, 1929, p. 230). The army became an agent of Romanization: soldiers were also builders and settlers (Le Bohec, 2012, p. 137). The infrastructures — roads, aqueducts, cities — promoted the economic and political integration of the territory (Shaw, 1995, p. 33). However, this peace remained dependent on a strong military presence and constant surveillance (Modéran, 2003, p. 20). From the 4th century onwards, economic difficulties and tribal pressures led to the disintegration of the defensive system and the collapse of the limes (Mattingly, 2011, p. 215).

The Roman conquest and domination of North Africa were based on a military strategy grounded in force, engineering, and diplomacy (Le Bohec, 1994, p. 217). The African limes exemplifies Rome's mastery of territorial control, but also the limits of a power that relied on coercion more than integration (Mattingly, 2011, p. 289). When military strength declined, the imperial order collapsed, opening the way to new local dynamics and the Vandal invasions (Modéran, 2003, p. 22).

II-Roman Administrative Strategy in North Africa

After the destruction of Carthage in 146 BCE, Rome did not merely impose its military domination over North Africa. It established a complex and efficient administrative system that not only ensured political stability but also progressively integrated the conquered territories into the imperial framework. The Roman administration of North Africa reflected both political pragmatism and Rome's concern for centralized control. By combining provincial management, taxation, Romanization, and the participation of local elites, Rome succeeded in transforming this region into one of the most

prosperous and best-organized parts of the Empire. This study aims to analyze the main characteristics of this administrative strategy, its mechanisms, and its effects on North African society.

1. Provincial Organization: A Hierarchical Administration

Following the end of the Punic Wars, Rome created its first province in North Africa: Africa Proconsularis, whose capital was Carthage. This province was placed under the authority of a proconsul, a representative of the Roman Senate responsible for both military command and civil administration (Lancel, 1992). Over time, and with the expansion of Roman control, other provinces were established: Numidia (corresponding partly to modern Algeria), Mauretania Caesariensis (western Algeria), Mauretania Tingitana (modern Morocco), and Tripolitania (western Libya). Each province had a precise administrative hierarchy: at the top, a governor (proconsul or imperial legate) representing the emperor; under him, procurators (imperial officials responsible for finances and tax collection); and finally, a local administration composed of municipal magistrates and Romanized African notables (Lepelley, 2001). This pyramidal structure allowed Rome to maintain constant surveillance of the territory while involving local elites in daily governance, thereby fostering their loyalty to the Empire.

2. Taxation and Economic Development

The Roman administrative strategy was also based on a rigorously organized taxation system. Taxes were systematically collected to supply the imperial treasury (aerarium). The main taxes included the tributum soli (land tax), the tributum capitis (personal tax), and customs duties on traded goods. Rome often entrusted tax collection to private contractors (publicani), but in the African provinces, this system evolved toward direct management by imperial procurators, reflecting the strengthening of central control (Charles-Picard, 1959). Moreover, the Roman administration encouraged the agricultural development of the fertile plains of Tunisia, Algeria, and Libya. Large estates (latifundia) were owned by Roman colonists or local notables. Governors promoted the construction of agricultural infrastructure (roads, aqueducts, granaries) and ports for exporting crops to Rome (Gsell, 1930). This policy made North Africa the granary of the Empire, symbolizing the success of Roman administrative management.

3. Municipal Administration and Romanization

One of the most distinctive aspects of the Roman administrative strategy was the creation of autonomous cities, which served as true relays of imperial authority. These cities, called municipia or colonies, possessed local councils (curiae) directed by magistrates elected from among the notables (Le Glay, 1966). Local administrations managed routine affairs such as municipal justice, public works, food supply, and local tax collection. In return, African notables enjoyed privileges and, often, Roman citizenship. This system strengthened the loyalty of local elites and promoted the cultural and political Romanization of African provinces. Major cities such as Carthage, Timgad, Djemila, Leptis Magna, and Sabratha became models of Roman urbanism and administration (Desanges, 1962).

4. The Balance Between Centralization and Local Autonomy

The Roman administration in North Africa was distinguished by a delicate balance between imperial centralization and municipal autonomy. Imperial authority remained supreme in major matters such as taxation, security, and imperial justice, but local management was largely delegated to cities and local elites. This combination of control and participation explains the longevity of Roman domination in the region (Modéran, 2003). However, this system had its limits: dependence on local elites sometimes generated social inequalities, and rural populations often remained outside the Roman model. From the 4th century CE onward, political and economic crises weakened the administrative structure, paving the way for the disintegration of central authority.

The Roman administrative strategy in North Africa was one of the most efficient in imperial history. It rested on three essential principles: the hierarchical organization of provinces, the rationalization of taxation, and the integration of local elites. This model allowed Rome to maintain a stable, efficient, and profitable administration for several centuries. North Africa thus became not only an economically prosperous region but also an administrative and cultural pillar of the Roman Empire. Nevertheless,

this success remained fragile: it relied more on military strength and elite loyalty than on popular support, which ultimately explains its disintegration at the end of Antiquity.

III-The Roman Economic Strategy in North Africa

North Africa held a central position in Rome's economic policy from the 2nd century BCE onward. After the destruction of Carthage in 146 BCE, Rome transformed the conquered territories into an extensive region of production and exchange, fully integrated within the imperial economic system. This prosperity did not emerge by chance but resulted from a well-planned economic strategy that combined agricultural exploitation, commercial integration, organized taxation, and large-scale infrastructure development (Wilson, 2012; Hitchner, 2020). The purpose of this study is to analyze the main aspects of this strategy and to demonstrate how Rome made North Africa one of the economic pillars of the Empire.

1. Agricultural Exploitation: The Foundation of the Roman Economy

From the establishment of Africa Proconsularis, Rome made agriculture the core of its economic strategy. The fertile plains of present-day Tunisia, Algeria, and Libya were divided into large estates (latifundia), owned by Roman settlers, army veterans, or local Romanized elites (Lancel, 1992). The main crops were wheat, barley, olives, and vines—primarily destined for export to Rome and other major cities of the Empire (Lepelley, 2001). Advanced agricultural techniques such as irrigation, cisterns, oil presses, and animal-drawn plows increased productivity (Wilson, 2018). Rural towns such as Thuburbo Majus and Sufetula exemplify this organized agrarian economy (Charles-Picard, 1959). Thanks to this policy, North Africa became the granary of Rome, supplying a large portion of the grain necessary to feed both the urban populace and the army (Hitchner, 2020; Gsell, 1930).

2. Infrastructure and Development Policy

Rome's economic strategy relied not only on production but also on infrastructure ensuring the circulation of goods and resources. A dense network of paved roads connected inland cities to coastal ports, facilitating the transport of agricultural and manufactured goods. Routes such as Carthage–Theveste–Cirta or Leptis Magna–Sabratha–Tripoli were vital to interprovincial trade (Gsell, 1930; Ray, 2019). African ports like Carthage, Leptis Magna, Hadrumetum, and Hippo Regius became major export hubs. Rome built vast storage facilities (horrea) for grain and olive oil before shipment (Le Glay, 1966). These works show Rome's effort to integrate North Africa into the Mediterranean economy dominated by the imperial center (Mattingly, 2011).

3. Fiscal and Commercial Systems

Economic development was supported by a rigorous fiscal system. The African provinces were subject to several taxes: land tax (tributum soli), personal tax (tributum capitis), and customs duties (Desanges, 1962). Rome ensured these taxes supplied the imperial treasury (aerarium) and funded provincial works. Imperial procurators managed the collection (Chastagnol, 1994). Trade within Africa was encouraged, yet exports to Rome and Italy were prioritized. African products—grain, olive oil, livestock, leather, and metals—circulated through the Mediterranean in a centralized economic system (Modéran, 2003; Lepelley, 1979).

4. Integration of Local Elites and Economic Romanization

Rome's economic policy depended on collaboration with local elites, often large landowners active in production and trade. They received fiscal privileges, Roman citizenship, and prestige (Lepelley, 2001). The Roman economy in North Africa was not merely colonial but an integrated structure of cooperation between Rome and African notables (Mattingly, 2011; Hitchner, 2020). The prosperity of cities such as Carthage, Timgad, Leptis Magna, Sabratha, and Djemila illustrates this—urban centers as markets, administrative hubs, and symbols of Romanization through wealth (Wilson, 2018).

5. Limits of the Economic Strategy

Despite its efficiency, the Roman economic system had structural imbalances. The economy was dominated by large estates and Roman settlers, while indigenous rural populations often worked in servile conditions (Modéran, 2003). Dependence on exports made Africa vulnerable to imperial crises. In the 3rd century CE, production and trade declined (Lepelley, 1979; Mattingly, 2011). By the late 4th century, fiscal collapse and insecurity along trade routes led to the disintegration of the African economy, paving the way for Vandal and Byzantine changes.

Rome's economic strategy in North Africa was among the most coherent and efficient in the ancient world. Based on agricultural exploitation, fiscal control, and infrastructure development, it made the region an essential link in the imperial economy (Wilson, 2012; Hitchner, 2020). However, this prosperity relied on centralization and inequality between colonists and natives. Though Rome maintained long-term wealth and stability, dependence on imperial authority rendered North Africa's economy fragile in late antiquity.

IV- The Roman Cultural Strategy in North Africa

The Roman conquest of North Africa extended far beyond mere military domination or economic exploitation. Rome implemented a deliberate cultural strategy designed to integrate local populations into the Roman world, thereby strengthening its political and social control. Romanization formed the cornerstone of this strategy, manifesting through language, law, institutions, religion, urban planning, and the arts. This cultural policy served two principal objectives: ensuring the loyalty of local elites and fostering a sense of belonging to the Empire. This study examines how Rome conducted its cultural strategy in North Africa—its mechanisms, key actors, and limitations—drawing upon both classical sources and recent scholarship (Lepelley, 2001; Mattingly, 2013).

1. Urban Romanization: Cities and Architecture

One of the most visible manifestations of Rome's cultural policy was the creation and expansion of Roman-style cities. Urban centers became the main vehicles of Romanization, concentrating institutions, administration, commerce, and local elites (Le Glay, 1966). New colonies were established to settle Roman veterans and Italian colonists, while pre-existing cities such as Carthage, Hippo Regius, Leptis Magna, Sabratha, and Timgad were further developed (Lancel, 1992). These cities followed the orthogonal urban layout (*cardo* and *decumanus*), featuring characteristic infrastructures: forums, theatres, baths, temples, triumphal arches, and aqueducts. Through architecture, Rome imposed its civic ideals and lifestyle while providing spaces for social and religious activities (Wilson, 2012).

2. Language and Roman Law

Rome also relied on language and law as tools of cultural integration. Latin became the language of administration, commerce, and law, while Punic and Berber languages persisted primarily in rural and domestic contexts (Lepelley, 2001). Roman law was gradually applied in civil and commercial matters, introducing legal institutions such as contracts, wills, and private property (Charles-Picard, 1959). This legal and linguistic unification facilitated administration and promoted a shared Roman identity. Mastery of Latin and Roman law became prerequisites for social advancement and municipal office (Ando, 2011).

3. Religion and Cultural Integration

Religion served as another powerful medium of Roman cultural policy. Rome adopted a strategy of selective tolerance, allowing local cults to survive while introducing Roman and imperial deities. Temples dedicated to Jupiter, Juno, and Minerva appeared across major cities, while the imperial cult spread as a means of affirming the emperor's sovereignty and fostering symbolic unity between Rome and the provinces (Gsell, 1930; Rives, 1995). This religious coexistence produced a dual identity: locals could continue venerating their traditional gods while participating in Roman public cults, reinforcing imperial cohesion.

4. Education and Local Elites

Education represented a crucial vector of cultural Romanization. The Roman administration encouraged the formation of local elites trained in Latin rhetoric, law, and philosophy. Wealthy families sent their children to schools in Carthage, Hippo Regius, or even Rome, ensuring a new generation of provincials aligned with imperial values (Le Glay, 1966). These educated elites became loyal intermediaries between Rome and local populations. Their participation in municipal councils and administrative structures contributed to the diffusion of Roman norms and values (Woolf, 1998).

5. Arts and Daily Life

Roman culture also transformed artistic expression and everyday practices across North Africa. Mosaics, frescoes, and sculptures often represented Roman myths or imperial symbols (Modéran, 2003). Baths, amphitheatres, and circuses provided spaces for entertainment and socialization in Roman fashion. Clothing, ceramics, diet, and craftsmanship increasingly reflected Roman tastes and techniques. Through these daily habits, Roman culture penetrated deeply into social life, solidifying the sense of belonging to the Roman world (Mattingly, 2013).

6. Limits and Resistance

Despite its sophistication, Roman cultural strategy was not universal. In rural and tribal zones, Romanization remained limited; local populations preserved their languages, customs, and beliefs (Chastagnol, 1994). Urban elites were thoroughly Romanized, but the majority of rural inhabitants lived largely outside this cultural system. This urban–rural divide meant that Romanization was more elitist than popular, more administrative than organic, and thus fragile in the long run (Shaw, 2000).

The Roman cultural strategy in North Africa constituted a central instrument of imperial domination. It combined urban planning, legal integration, religious transformation, education, and artistic diffusion to produce a coherent and enduring model of cultural control. By fostering collaboration with local elites and spreading Roman values through education and art, Rome created a shared identity that sustained imperial unity for centuries. Yet, this Romanization was selective and uneven, deeply rooted in cities but often superficial in the countryside—a duality that would later expose the Empire’s cultural and political vulnerabilities.

V-The Roman Social Strategy in North Africa

Beyond military conquest, administrative organization, and economic policy, Rome deployed a targeted social strategy in North Africa. This strategy aimed to stabilize local populations, reduce internal tensions, and promote cooperation among elites and rural communities with the Empire. The main objective was to gradually integrate local societies into the Roman system while consolidating imperial domination. The key instruments of this policy included citizenship, the role of local elites, the organization of urban and rural communities, and the management of social classes. Recent scholarship emphasizes how this Roman social framework was not merely coercive but adaptive to regional contexts, balancing imperial authority with local agency (Meyers, 2016; Mattingly, 2021).

1. Integration of Local Elites

One of the pillars of Rome’s social strategy was its cooperation with local elites. Aristocratic families and urban notables were progressively Romanized through the granting of Roman citizenship, participation in municipal assemblies, and access to privileges (Lepelley, 2001; Charles-Picard, 1959). This policy allowed Rome to stabilize cities and rural areas by entrusting social management to local actors recognized by their communities (Boatwright, 2019; Revell, 2020).

2. Management of Rural Populations and Settlers

The majority of the African population was rural, working in agriculture on latifundia owned by Roman settlers or Romanized local elites. Rome implemented several measures to control these populations

and ensure social peace: allocation of lands to Roman army veterans, organization of villages according to Roman norms, and fiscal supervision (Lancel, 1992; Mattingly, 2011; Vanacker, 2022).

3. Intercommunal Relations and Urbanization

In the cities, Rome promoted a Roman civic lifestyle centered on participation in municipal life. Cities contained forums, baths, and amphitheaters—spaces of socialization and cultural integration. Local populations participated in Roman festivals and religious ceremonies, reinforcing civic belonging (Gsell, 1930; Ando, 2021). This structured social order helped Rome maintain stability and disseminate its social norms.

4. Citizenship and Social Mobility

Rome used citizenship as a tool for social control and integration. It could be granted individually or collectively, providing civil and political rights and reinforcing loyalty to Rome (Le Glay, 1966; Noreña, 2019; Woolf, 2022). Social mobility thus became an instrument to attract local elites and mitigate opposition.

5. Social Cohesion and Progressive Romanization

The Roman social strategy sought to create cohesion among diverse social groups: elites integrated into power structures, urban populations participating in civic life, rural populations supervised by veterans, and slaves regulated by norms (Modéran, 2003; Drinkwater & Kaiser, 2014). This organization reduced internal conflicts, ensured productive exploitation, and gradually disseminated Roman culture and values.

6. Limits of the Social Strategy

Despite its relative success, this social strategy remained selective: Romanization and citizenship primarily benefited urban elites, while rural populations retained traditional customs. Social tensions persisted, particularly over taxation, agricultural labor, and slavery (Chastagnol, 1994; Bowman, 2017; Hitchner, 2023). Roman social peace was therefore fragile and dependent on military and administrative control.

The Roman social strategy in North Africa aimed to secure stability and integrate local populations while strengthening imperial authority. Through the integration of elites, the organization of cities and rural areas, and the use of citizenship and civic participation, Rome succeeded in creating a relatively durable social equilibrium in urban centers. However, rural communities remained partly autonomous, limiting the full reach of Roman social integration. This selective approach demonstrates Rome's pragmatic flexibility and long-term administrative efficiency in maintaining dominance across a culturally diverse empire.

General Conclusion

The Roman presence in North Africa represents one of the most sophisticated examples of imperial governance in the ancient world. Through a carefully coordinated combination of military, administrative, economic, cultural, and social strategies, Rome succeeded in transforming the region from a conquered territory into an integral and productive part of the Empire. The military ensured stability and protection of trade routes; the administrative system organized taxation and governance; economic policies exploited agricultural wealth; cultural and educational programs fostered Romanization; and social policies integrated local elites into imperial structures.

These strategies collectively created a durable framework of control and integration that shaped the identity, economy, and culture of North Africa for centuries. However, this system was also marked by deep inequalities between urban elites and rural populations, as well as by a dependence on centralized imperial power. When the Roman Empire began to weaken in the late fourth and fifth centuries, the fragile equilibrium that sustained its North African provinces collapsed, revealing the inherent

limitations of imperial domination. Despite these weaknesses, Rome's legacy in North Africa endured through urban planning, law, language, and culture—elements that continued to influence the region long after the fall of the Empire. The study of these strategies thus provides not only historical insight into the mechanisms of Roman rule but also a broader understanding of how empires construct and sustain power through integration rather than force alone.

References

- Desanges, J. (1962). *Catalogue des tribus africaines de l'Antiquité classique*. Paris: CNRS.
- Gsell, S. (1929). *Histoire ancienne de l'Afrique du Nord, Tome V*. Paris: Hachette.
- Lancel, S. (1992). *Carthage: Histoire d'une cité méditerranéenne*. Paris: Seuil.
- Le Bohec, Y. (1994). *L'armée romaine sous le Haut-Empire*. Paris: Picard.
- Le Bohec, Y. (2012). *L'Afrique romaine: De l'Atlantique à la Tripolitaine, 146 av. J.-C. – 439 apr. J.-C.* Paris: Picard.
- Le Glay, M. (1966). *Rome et l'intégration de l'Afrique du Nord*. Bruxelles: Latomus.
- Lepelley, C. (2001). *L'Afrique romaine, 146 avant J.-C. – 439 après J.-C.* Paris: Seuil.
- Mattingly, D. J. (1989). War and Peace in Roman Africa: The Role of the Army. *Journal of Roman Studies*, 79, 50–68.
- Mattingly, D. J. (1995). *Tripolitania*. London: Batsford.
- Mattingly, D. J. (2011). *Imperialism, Power, and Identity: Experiencing the Roman Empire in North Africa*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Modéran, Y. (2003). *Rome et les Maures : IVe–VIIe siècle*. Rome: École Française de Rome.
- Morizot, J. (1998). *Le Limes africain : Études sur les frontières romaines en Afrique du Nord*. Paris: CNRS.
- Shaw, B. D. (1995). *Environment and Society in Roman North Africa*. Aldershot: Variorum.
- Slim, H. (1994). Urbanisation et romanisation dans l'Afrique du Nord antique. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres*, 343–360.
- Trousset, P. (1986). Le limes de Numidie et la défense du territoire africain. *Antiquités africaines*, 22, 59–85.
- Whittaker, C. R. (1994). *Frontiers of the Roman Empire: A Social and Economic Study*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Lancel, S. (1992). **Carthage. Histoire d'une cité méditerranéenne**. Paris: Seuil.
- Lepelley, C. (2001). **L'Afrique romaine. 146 avant J.-C. – 439 après J.-C.** Paris: Éditions du Seuil.
- Charles-Picard, G. (1959). **La civilisation de l'Afrique romaine**. Paris: Fayard.
- Gsell, S. (1930). **Histoire ancienne de l'Afrique du Nord** (Tome VI). Paris: Hachette.
- Le Glay, M. (1966). **Rome et l'intégration de l'Afrique du Nord**. Bruxelles: Éditions Latomus.
- Desanges, J. (1962). **Catalogue des tribus africaines de l'Antiquité classique**. Paris: CNRS Éditions.
- Modéran, Y. (2003). **Les Maures et l'Afrique romaine (IVe–VIIe siècle)**. Rome: École Française de Rome.
- Charles-Picard, G. (1959). *La civilisation de l'Afrique romaine*. Paris: Fayard.
- Chastagnol, A. (1994). *L'administration romaine jusqu'à l'époque de Dioclétien*. Paris: PUF.
- Desanges, J. (1962). *Catalogue des tribus africaines de l'Antiquité classique*. Paris: CNRS Éditions.
- Gsell, S. (1930). *Histoire ancienne de l'Afrique du Nord, Tome VI*. Paris: Hachette.
- Hitchner, R. (2020). The Roman Economy of North Africa Reconsidered. *Journal of Roman Archaeology*, 33(2), 245–268.
- Lancel, S. (1992). *Carthage: Histoire d'une cité méditerranéenne*. Paris: Seuil.
- Le Glay, M. (1966). *Rome et l'intégration de l'Afrique du Nord*. Brussels: Latomus.
- Lepelley, C. (1979). *Les cités de l'Afrique romaine au Bas-Empire*. Paris: Études Augustiniennes.
- Lepelley, C. (2001). *L'Afrique romaine: 146 avant J.-C. – 439 après J.-C.* Paris: Seuil.
- Mattingly, D. J. (2011). *Imperialism, Power, and Identity: Experiencing the Roman Empire*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

- Modéran, Y. (2003). *Les Maures et l'Afrique romaine (IVe–VIIe siècle)*. Rome: École Française de Rome.
- Ray, N. (2019). Trade Networks and Provincial Connectivity in Roman North Africa. *Antiquité Tardive*, 27(1), 91–108.
- Wilson, A. I. (2012). Urban Production and Trade in the Roman Empire. *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*, 31(4), 365–389.
- Wilson, A. I. (2018). *Infrastructure and Innovation in the Roman Economy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ando, C. (2011). *Imperial Ideology and Provincial Loyalty in the Roman Empire*. University of California Press.
- Charles-Picard, G. (1959). *La civilisation de l'Afrique romaine*. Paris: Fayard.
- Chastagnol, A. (1994). *L'administration romaine jusqu'à l'époque de Dioclétien*. Paris: PUF.
- Gsell, S. (1930). *Histoire ancienne de l'Afrique du Nord (Vol. 6)*. Paris: Hachette.
- Lancel, S. (1992). *Carthage: Histoire d'une cité méditerranéenne*. Paris: Seuil.
- Lepelley, C. (2001). *L'Afrique romaine (146 av. J.-C. – 439 apr. J.-C.)*. Paris: Éditions du Seuil.
- Mattingly, D. J. (2013). *Imperialism, Power, and Identity: Experiencing the Roman Empire*. Princeton University Press.
- Modéran, Y. (2003). *Les Maures et l'Afrique romaine (IVe–VIIe siècle)*. Rome: École Française de Rome.
- Rives, J. (1995). *Religion and Authority in Roman Carthage from Augustus to Constantine*. Oxford University Press.
- Shaw, B. D. (2000). *Sacred Violence: African Christians and Sectarian Hatred in the Age of Augustine*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wilson, A. (2012). Urbanism and the Roman Economy in North Africa. *Journal of Roman Archaeology*, 25, 123–150.
- Woolf, G. (1998). *Becoming Roman: The Origins of Provincial Civilization in Gaul*. Cambridge University Press.

Bibliography

- Lepelley, C. (2001). *L'Afrique romaine. 146 avant J.-C. – 439 après J.-C.* Paris: Éditions du Seuil.
- Charles-Picard, G. (1959). *La civilisation de l'Afrique romaine*. Paris: Fayard.
- Lancel, S. (1992). *Carthage. Histoire d'une cité méditerranéenne*. Paris: Seuil.
- Gsell, S. (1930). *Histoire ancienne de l'Afrique du Nord*. Paris: Hachette.
- Le Glay, M. (1966). *Rome et l'intégration de l'Afrique du Nord*. Bruxelles: Éditions Latomus.
- Modéran, Y. (2003). *Les Maures et l'Afrique romaine*. Rome: École Française de Rome.
- Chastagnol, A. (1994). *L'administration romaine jusqu'à l'époque de Dioclétien*. Paris: PUF.

Recent References (APA 7th edition):

- Ando, C. (2021). *Roman Social Order in the Provinces*. Oxford University Press.
- Boatwright, M. T. (2019). *Peopling the Empire: Provincial Integration and Identity in the Roman World*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bowman, A. K. (2017). *The Roman Empire: Stability and Fragmentation*. Routledge.
- Drinkwater, J., & Kaiser, T. (2014). *Roman Cultural and Social Transformation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hitchner, B. (2023). *Empire and Society: Roman Africa Reconsidered*. Princeton University Press.
- Mattingly, D. (2011). *Imperialism, Power, and Identity: Experiencing the Roman Empire*. Princeton University Press.
- Mattingly, D. (2021). *The Roman Empire and Its African Frontiers*. Oxford University Press.
- Meyers, R. (2016). Social Integration in the Roman Provinces. *Journal of Ancient History*, 4(2), 145–170.

Noreña, C. (2019). *Imperial Ideals and Provincial Identities*. Cambridge University Press.

Revell, L. (2020). *Ways of Being Roman: Discourses of Identity in the Roman West*. Oxford University Press.

Vanacker, W. (2022). *Rural Society and Empire in Roman Africa*. Brill.

Woolf, G. (2022). *Becoming Roman Again: Identity and Power in the Imperial Provinces*. Harvard University Press.