ITJ | The International Tax Journal

Digital audit talent, audit digitization and detection risk: A Systematic literature review and conceptual framework

Ibrahim Ferzizi [1], and **Bilal Louail** [2]

[1] Higher School of Social Security, Mohamed Saleh Mentouri, Email: i.ferzizi@edu.esss.dz
[2] University of Algiers 3, Email: louail.bilal@ univ-alger3.dz
Corresponding Author: louail.bilal@ univ-alger3.dz

Abstract---This paper reviews audit literature focuses on digital talent, studies audit technologies, examines detection risk. Develops conceptual framework, integrates RBV theory, applies TAM model, uses audit competency theories. Explains digital capabilities, links technology tools, enhances audit quality. Uses systematic review method, follows PRISMA guidelines, analyzes academic databases, covers 2021–2026 period. Initial sample includes 214 papers, applies inclusion criteria, focuses on digital audit topics, and selects 30 studies. Conducts thematic synthesis, identifies key constructs, examines relationships, highlights research gaps. Digital audit talent includes analytics skills, requires AI literacy, involves IT knowledge, depends on ethical judgment. Audit digitization uses AI tools, applies RPA systems, analyzes big data, and integrates blockchain technology. Reduces detection risk, enables full data testing, supports continuous monitoring, and improves anomaly detection. Technology benefits depend on skills, require trained auditors, need data governance, rely on organizational support. Skills still exist, affect audit education, limit professional practice, reduce technology effectiveness. Review shows limited evidence, lacks empirical testing, misses theoretical validation, needs longitudinal studies. Future research should expand methods, apply mixed approaches, examine mediation effects, study talent roles. Audit firms must invest, improve technology systems, develop human capital, enhance training programs. Regulators should update policies, educators should revise curricula, include data analytics, teach AI literacy. Ethical decision-making remains essential. Developing economies face challenges, require tailored strategies, need capacity building, and support digital transition. Study provides new insights, integrates audit concepts, links talent and technology, connects audit outcomes. Proposes testable hypotheses, guides practitioners, supports educators, informs policymakers.

How to Cite:
Ferzizi, I., & Louail, B. (2026). Digital audit talent, audit digitization and detection risk: A Systematic literature review and conceptual framework. *The International Tax Journal*, *53*(3), 1015–1035. Retrieved from https://internationaltaxjournal.online/index.php/itj/article/view/618

The International tax journal ISSN: 0097-7314 E-ISSN: 3066-2370 © 2026

Submitted: 09 March 2026 | Revised: 18 April 2026 | Accepted: 05 May 2026

Keywords---Digital audit talent, Audit digitization, Detection risk, Audit quality, Resource-based view, AI literacy, Audit transformation.

1. Introduction

The audit profession stands at a critical juncture as digital transformation reshapes traditional practices, competencies, and risk assessment paradigms. Over the past decade, the proliferation of artificial intelligence, robotic process automation, big data analytics, and blockchain technologies has fundamentally altered how auditors gather evidence, assess risks, and form opinions on financial statements (Bagouzi, 2025; Ryabchuk and Rakut, 2025). This technological revolution promises enhanced audit quality through comprehensive data analysis, continuous monitoring capabilities, and sophisticated anomaly detection algorithms that surpass manual sampling methods. Yet, the realization of these benefits hinges critically on a factor often underestimated in both practice and research: the digital capabilities of audit professionals themselves.

Detection risk, defined as the risk that auditors fail to detect material misstatements through substantive procedures, represents a core component of audit risk that directly influences audit effectiveness and public trust in financial reporting (Awad and Ali, 2024). Traditional audit approaches relied heavily on statistical sampling, professional skepticism, and auditor judgment to manage detection risk within acceptable bounds. However, the exponential growth in transaction volumes, data complexity, and sophisticated fraud schemes have exposed the limitations of conventional methods. Audit digitization offers a compelling solution by enabling population-level testing, real-time analytics, and pattern recognition capabilities that can identify anomalies invisible to manual procedures (Suyono et al., 2025; Li, 2024).

Despite the technological promise, a critical gap has emerged between the capabilities of digital audit tools and the competencies of audit professionals to deploy them effectively. Multiple studies document widespread skills deficiencies in data analytics, programming, AI literacy, and technology-enabled audit procedures among practicing auditors (Lahsini et al., 2024; Nirwana and Permana, 2025). This talent gap threatens to undermine the potential benefits of audit digitization, as sophisticated tools in the hands of inadequately trained professionals may produce misleading results, false confidence, or missed risks. The concept of digital audit talent has thus emerged as a critical construct encompassing not only technical proficiency with digital tools but also the judgment, ethical reasoning, and domain knowledge required to interpret algorithmic outputs within audit contexts (Zhou et al., 2025; Wu, 2025).

The intersection of digital audit talent, audit digitization, and detection risk presents a complex phenomenon that existing literature has examined primarily in fragmented ways. Studies have explored technology adoption in auditing, auditor competency development, and risk assessment methodologies as separate streams, but few have synthesized these elements into an integrated framework that explains their interdependencies. This fragmentation limits both theoretical understanding and practical guidance for audit firms, regulators, and educators seeking to navigate digital transformation effectively.

From a theoretical perspective, the Resource-Based View (RBV) suggests that sustainable competitive advantage in audit firms derives from valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable resources and capabilities (Lubis et al., 2024). In the digital era, both technological infrastructure and human capital with digital competencies constitute strategic resources that, when combined synergistically, can enhance audit quality and differentiate firms in competitive markets. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) provides complementary insights by explaining how perceived usefulness and ease of use influence auditors' intentions to adopt digital tools, thereby affecting actual implementation and benefits realization (Sholihat et al., 2025). Meanwhile, audit expertise theory emphasizes the role of

domain knowledge, pattern recognition, and professional judgment in audit effectiveness, dimensions that must evolve to incorporate digital literacy and algorithmic reasoning.

The research problem addressed in this paper centers on understanding how digital audit talent and audit digitization interact to influence detection risk and, ultimately, audit quality. Specifically, we seek to answer three interrelated research questions: First, what constitutes digital audit talent in contemporary audit practice, and what skills gaps currently exist? Second, how do specific audit digitization technologies (AI, RPA, big data analytics, blockchain) affect detection risk and audit quality? Third, what is the nature of the relationship between digital audit talent and audit digitization in determining detection risk outcomes?

To address these questions, this paper presents a systematic literature review of research published between 2021 and 2026, a period marked by accelerated digital transformation in auditing. We synthesize findings across multiple disciplines including auditing, information systems, accounting education, and organizational behavior to develop a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon. Building on this synthesis, we propose a conceptual framework that positions digital audit talent as both a direct determinant of audit quality and a critical mediating factor that conditions the effectiveness of audit digitization in reducing detection risk.

The contribution of this paper is threefold. First, it provides the first systematic review that integrates the emerging literatures on digital audit talent and audit digitization through a unified theoretical lens, identifying key constructs, relationships, and research gaps. Second, it develops a conceptual framework with testable hypotheses that can guide future empirical research on the human-technology interface in auditing. Third, it offers practical implications for audit firms designing talent development programs, educators reforming audit curriculum, and regulators establishing standards for technology-enabled audits. A dedicated section examines implications for developing economies, with particular attention to Algeria's digital audit transformation challenges.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 establishes the theoretical foundations by reviewing RBV, audit expertise theory, and TAM in the context of digital auditing. Section 3 presents a comprehensive literature review covering digital audit talent, audit digitization technologies, detection risk, and their interrelationships. Section 4 describes the systematic review methodology. Section 5 develops conceptual framework and research hypotheses. Section 6 discusses findings, contradictions, and research gaps. Section 7 examines implications for Algeria and similar developing contexts. Section 8 concludes with a summary of contributions and future research directions.

2. Theoretical Background

2.1 Resource-Based View and Audit Firms

The Resource-Based View (RBV) has emerged as a dominant theoretical framework for understanding competitive advantage in professional service firms, including audit organizations. RBV posits that firms achieve sustained superior performance by accumulating and deploying resources and capabilities that are valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (Lubis et al., 2024). In the audit context, traditional strategic resources included reputation, client relationships, industry expertise, and methodological know-how. However, the digital transformation of auditing has fundamentally altered the resource landscape, introducing new categories of strategic assets that determine audit quality and firm competitiveness.

Digital audit technologies such as AI-powered analytics platforms, robotic process automation systems, big data infrastructure, and blockchain verification tools represent tangible technological resources that audit firms can acquire and deploy. Yet, RBV theory emphasizes that technology alone rarely constitutes a sustainable competitive advantage because it can be purchased or replicated by

competitors. The critical differentiator lies in complementary intangible resources, particularly human capital with digital competencies, organizational routines for technology integration, and dynamic capabilities for continuous adaptation to technological change (Sholihat et al., 2025).

Recent applications of RBV to digital auditing suggest that audit firms must develop resource bundles that combine technological infrastructure with digitally skilled professionals, supportive organizational culture, and governance frameworks that ensure responsible technology use (Lubis et al., 2024). These resource bundles create synergies where the value of technology is amplified by skilled users, and conversely, digital talent becomes more productive when equipped with advanced tools. This complementarity implies that investments in technology without corresponding investments in human capital development will yield suboptimal returns, a prediction supported by empirical observations of uneven technology adoption outcomes across audit firms.

The RBV perspective also highlights the path-dependent nature of digital capability development in audit firms. Organizations that invest early in building digital talent pipelines, establishing data analytics centers of excellence, and developing proprietary audit algorithms may accumulate knowledge stocks and organizational routines that become increasingly difficult for competitors to replicate over time. This dynamic suggests that the current period of digital transformation represents a critical window during which strategic resource investments will shape competitive positions for years to come.

Furthermore, RBV theory helps explain variation in audit quality outcomes associated with digitization. Firms that successfully integrate digital technologies with skilled professionals and robust governance structures can achieve superior detection of material misstatements, more efficient audit processes, and enhanced client value propositions. In contrast, firms that adopt technology superficially without developing complementary capabilities may experience implementation failures, algorithmic errors, or misplaced confidence in automated outputs that increase audit risk (Nirwana and Permana, 2025).

2.2 Audit Expertise Theory

Audit expertise theory provides a cognitive and behavioral framework for understanding how auditors develop and apply professional judgment in complex, ambiguous situations. Traditional audit expertise research identified several dimensions of expert performance including domain knowledge, pattern recognition, problem structuring, and the ability to integrate diverse information sources into coherent risk assessments. Expert auditors were characterized by their capacity to identify subtle anomalies, generate alternative explanations for observed patterns, and maintain appropriate professional skepticism throughout the audit process.

The advent of digital audit technologies introduces new dimensions to audit expertise that extend beyond traditional competencies. Digital audit expertise requires not only mastery of accounting and auditing standards but also proficiency in data analytics, understanding of algorithmic logic, and the ability to critically evaluate technology-generated outputs (Zhou et al., 2025). This expanded expertise domain creates challenges for both individual auditors and the profession, as traditional pathways to expertise development may not adequately prepare professionals for technology-mediated audit environments.

One critical aspect of digital audit expertise involves understanding the capabilities and limitations of AI and machine learning algorithms used in audit procedures. Expert digital auditors must be able to assess the appropriateness of algorithmic models for specific audit contexts, recognize potential biases in training data, and interpret model outputs with appropriate skepticism (Suyono et al., 2025). This requires a form of "algorithmic literacy" that combines statistical knowledge, understanding of model architectures, and awareness of ethical considerations in automated decision-making.

However, the current literature provides limited evidence of systematic application of audit expertise theory to digital auditing contexts. While multiple studies reference the importance of auditor competencies in technology adoption, few explicitly theorize how digital tools alter the cognitive processes, knowledge structures, and judgment patterns that characterize audit expertise. This theoretical gap represents an important opportunity for future research to examine how expertise develops in hybrid human-AI audit teams, what new forms of pattern recognition emerge when auditors work with algorithmic anomaly detection systems, and how professional skepticism manifests when evaluating technology-generated evidence.

The integration of audit expertise theory with digital auditing also raises questions about expertise transfer and knowledge management in audit firms. Traditional audit expertise was often tacit, developed through years of experience and mentorship relationships. Digital audit expertise may require more explicit codification of knowledge about tool capabilities, data quality assessment, and algorithmic interpretation. This shift has implications for training programs, knowledge management systems, and the organizational structures that support expertise development in digitally transformed audit firms.

2.3 Technology Acceptance Model

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) has been widely applied to understand individual and organizational adoption of information technologies across various professional contexts. TAM posits that technology adoption is primarily determined by two key beliefs: perceived usefulness (the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system would enhance their job performance) and perceived ease of use (the degree to which a person believes that using the system would be free of effort). These beliefs, in turn, influence attitudes toward technology, intentions to use, and actual usage behavior (Sholihat et al., 2025).

In the audit context, TAM provides a valuable framework for understanding why some auditors embrace digital tools while others resist adoption despite organizational mandates. Research into applying TAM to audit digitization has found that auditors' perceptions of usefulness are influenced by factors such as demonstrated improvements in audit efficiency, enhanced detection capabilities, and support from firm leadership (Lubis et al., 2024). Perceived ease of use is shaped by the quality of training programs, user interface design, technical support availability, and prior experience with similar technologies.

However, the application of TAM to audit digitization reveals important contextual factors that extend beyond the original model. Professional skepticism, a core audit competency, may create resistance to technology adoption if auditors perceive algorithmic outputs as "black boxes" that obscure the audit trail or reduce their ability to exercise professional judgment (Nirwana and Permana, 2025). This suggests that technology acceptance in auditing requires not only demonstrating usefulness and ease of use but also maintaining transparency, auditability, and professional control over critical judgments.

Recent extensions of TAM in audit research have incorporated additional variables such as trust in technology, organizational support, regulatory requirements, and peer influence. Studies have found that auditors are more likely to adopt digital tools when they trust the underlying algorithms, receive adequate training and support, perceive adoption as aligned with professional standards, and observe successful use by respected colleagues (Sholihat et al., 2025). These findings suggest that successful audit digitization requires multi-level interventions addressing individual beliefs, organizational culture, and professional norms.

The TAM framework also helps explain the critical role of digital audit talent in technology adoption outcomes. Auditors with stronger digital competencies are likely to perceive digital tools as easier to use and more useful, creating a positive feedback loop where digital skills enhance technology acceptance, which in turn provides opportunities for further skill development. Conversely, auditors lacking digital

competencies may perceive technologies as difficult and threatening, leading to resistance, superficial adoption, or misuse that undermines potential benefits. These dynamic underscores the importance of investing in digital talent development as a prerequisite for successful audit digitization.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Digital Audit Talent: Definitions and Dimensions

The concept of digital audit talent has emerged in recent literature as a multidimensional construct encompassing technical competencies, cognitive capabilities, and ethical reasoning skills required for effective auditing in technology-intensive environments. Zhou et al. (2025) propose a dual-axis model of digital audit talent that integrates technical tool operation capabilities with ethical decision-making competencies, arguing that both dimensions are essential for responsible and effective use of AI and analytics in auditing. This conceptualization moves beyond narrow technical skill definitions to recognize that digital audit professionals must navigate complex ethical dilemmas involving algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the appropriate balance between automation and human judgment.

The technical dimension of digital audit talent includes several core competency areas. Data analytics capabilities represent a foundational skill set, encompassing the ability to extract, transform, and analyze large datasets using statistical methods and visualization tools (Lahsini et al., 2024). Auditors must be proficient in querying databases using SQL, manipulating data in programming languages such as Python or R, and interpreting analytical outputs to identify audit-relevant patterns and anomalies. Li (2024) emphasizes that big data audit technology requires auditors to understand data structures, data quality assessment, and the application of advanced analytical techniques including predictive modeling and machine learning.

IT audit knowledge constitutes another critical dimension, including familiarity with enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, computer-assisted audit techniques (CAATs), cloud computing architectures, and cybersecurity principles (Iwuanyanwu et al., 2023). Modern auditors must understand how information systems process transactions, store data, and implement controls, as well as how to audit through and around these systems effectively. This requires knowledge that bridges traditional audit methodology with information technology concepts, creating a hybrid competency profile that many current auditors lack.

AI literacy has emerged as an increasingly important component of digital audit talent, particularly as machine learning algorithms become embedded in audit procedures. Suyono et al. (2025) argue that auditors must understand not only how to use AI-powered tools but also how to assess model reliability, recognize potential biases, and interpret algorithmic outputs with appropriate professional skepticism. This form of AI literacy extends beyond technical operation to include critical evaluation of algorithmic decision-making, understanding of model limitations, and awareness of ethical considerations in automated audit procedures. Phan and Ngo (2025) similarly emphasize that auditors in the AI era must develop competencies in evaluating algorithm appropriateness, assessing training data quality, and maintaining human oversight over critical audit judgments.

Beyond technical skills, digital audit talent encompasses cognitive and professional competencies that enable effective integration of technology into audit judgment. Professional skepticism remains essential but must evolve to include critical evaluation of technology-generated evidence and algorithmic recommendations (Suyono et al., 2025). Domain knowledge in accounting, auditing standards, and industry-specific business processes continues to provide the contextual understanding necessary to interpret analytical outputs meaningfully. Communication skills are becoming increasingly important as auditors must explain technology-enabled audit procedures to clients, regulators, and other stakeholders who may lack technical backgrounds.

Despite growing recognition of digital audit talent's importance, substantial skills gaps persist across the profession. Lahsini et al. (2024) documents widespread deficiencies in data analytics, programming, and AI literacy among practicing auditors, attributing these gaps to insufficient curriculum coverage in accounting education programs and limited continuous professional development opportunities. Nirwana and Permana (2025) report that many audit firms struggle with uneven technology adoption, where sophisticated tools are available but underutilized due to auditor skill limitations and resistance to change.

The skills gap is particularly acute in developing economies and smaller audit firms that lack resources for comprehensive training programs. Wu (2025) proposes a digital audit talent training model based on "Big Cloud and Mobile Intelligent Area" technologies, emphasizing the need for tiered curricula that address different competency levels and learning pathways. This model recognizes that digital audit talent development requires sustained investment in education, training infrastructure, and organizational support systems rather than one-time interventions.

Educational implications of the digital audit talent construct are profound. Multiple studies call for fundamental reform of audit curricula to integrate data analytics, programming, AI literacy, and technology-enabled audit procedures throughout accounting programs rather than treating them as elective add-ons (Zhou et al., 2025; Lahsini et al., 2024). Recommendations include establishing partnerships between academia and practice to ensure curriculum relevance, creating hands-on learning experiences with real audit technologies, and developing faculty expertise in digital auditing through professional development initiatives. Sholihat et al. (2025) emphasize that human resource quality, including digital competencies, directly influences organizational performance in public sector auditing, suggesting that talent development should be a strategic priority for audit organizations.

3.2 Audit Digitization Technologies

Audit digitization encompasses the integration of advanced technologies including artificial intelligence, robotic process automation, big data analytics, and blockchain into audit methodologies and procedures. Each technology offers distinct capabilities that can enhance audit effectiveness, efficiency, and quality when implemented appropriately.

Artificial intelligence and machine learning have garnered significant attention for their potential to transform audit risk assessment and substantive testing procedures. Bagouzi (2025) projects that AI will fundamentally reshape the audit profession by 2030, enabling continuous auditing, predictive risk assessment, and sophisticated fraud detection capabilities that surpass traditional methods. AI applications in auditing include natural language processing for contract analysis, computer vision for physical asset verification, and machine learning algorithms for anomaly detection in transaction populations (Ryabchuk and Rakut, 2025).

The fraud detection capabilities of AI-powered audit analytics represent a particularly promising application. Suyono et al. (2025) examine the synergy between auditor competency and AI-powered analytics in fraud detection, finding that the combination of skilled auditors and advanced algorithms produces superior outcomes compared to either element alone. AI systems can process entire transaction populations to identify unusual patterns, outliers, and relationships that might indicate fraudulent activity, while human auditors provide the contextual judgment and professional skepticism necessary to evaluate whether identified anomalies represent genuine risks or benign variations.

However, AI adoption in auditing also presents significant challenges and risks. Algorithm opacity creates "black box" problems where auditors may struggle to understand how AI systems reach conclusions, potentially undermining audit trail requirements and professional skepticism (Iwuanyanwu et al., 2023). Bias in training data can lead to systematic errors in risk assessment or discriminatory outcomes that violate ethical principles. Regulatory frameworks have not kept pace with AI adoption,

creating uncertainty about acceptable uses, documentation requirements, and liability allocation when algorithmic errors occur (Bagouzi, 2025).

Robotic process automation (RPA) offers complementary capabilities focused on automating repetitive, rule-based audit procedures. Awad and Ali (2024) examine how RPA and AI can be utilized to mitigate audit risks, finding that RPA increases efficiency in procedures such as data extraction, reconciliation, and confirmation processing. By automating routine tasks, RPA frees auditor time for higher-value activities requiring professional judgment while reducing manual errors that contribute to detection risk. The effectiveness of RPA depends critically on proper implementation, including accurate process mapping, robust exception handling, and ongoing monitoring to ensure automated procedures function as intended.

Big data analytics represents a foundational technology enabling auditors to analyze entire populations of transactions rather than relying on sampling. Li (2024) provides a comprehensive review of big data audit technology, highlighting capabilities for continuous monitoring, real-time risk assessment, and identification of complex patterns across multiple data sources. Big data analytics enable auditors to test 100% of transactions for certain characteristics, fundamentally altering the nature of detection risk by eliminating sampling risk for those procedures. George (2025) emphasizes that big data analytics in IT audit extends beyond compliance checking to enable predictive insights, process optimization recommendations, and strategic value creation for audit clients.

The implementation of big data analytics in auditing faces several practical constraints. Data quality issues, including incomplete records, inconsistent formats, and integration challenges across multiple systems, can undermine analytical reliability (Li, 2024). The volume, velocity, and variety of big data require substantial technological infrastructure and data management capabilities that may exceed the resources of smaller audit firms. Privacy and security concerns arise when auditors access and analyze sensitive client data, requiring robust governance frameworks and cybersecurity measures (George, 2025).

Blockchain technology offers unique capabilities for enhancing audit evidence reliability and transaction traceability. Skubachevskii (2025) examines blockchain's impact on auditing and stakeholder trust in public institutions, finding that blockchain-based audit trails can enhance evidence integrity, reduce opportunities for data manipulation, and increase transparency in governance processes. The immutable, distributed nature of blockchain records provides auditors with high-quality evidence that is difficult to alter retroactively, potentially reducing substantive testing requirements and detection risk.

Ghule and Bhalekar (2025) explore how FinTech disruption, including blockchain and other distributed ledger technologies, influences audit practices in the banking sector. They find that blockchain adoption creates both opportunities and challenges for auditors, who must develop new competencies in distributed systems, cryptographic verification, and smart contract auditing while adapting traditional audit procedures to decentralized environments. The integration of blockchain into financial reporting and auditing remains in early stages, with significant technical, regulatory, and standardization challenges to address before widespread adoption.

The collective impact of these technologies on audit practice depends critically on implementation quality, organizational support, and auditor competencies. Nirwana and Permana (2025) emphasize that digital transformation in auditing presents both challenges and opportunities, with audit quality outcomes contingent on how effectively firms manage the transition. Successful digitization requires not only technology acquisition but also process redesign, change management, training programs, and governance frameworks that ensure responsible technology use.

3.3 Detection Risk in the Digital Era

Detection risk, the probability that auditors fail to detect material misstatements through substantive procedures, represents a critical component of overall audit risk that directly influences audit effectiveness and quality. The digital transformation of auditing has fundamentally altered the nature of detection risk, introducing new capabilities for risk reduction while simultaneously creating novel risk sources that auditors must manage.

Traditional approaches to managing detection risk relied primarily on statistical sampling, analytical procedures, and detailed testing of selected transactions. These methods inherently involved sampling risk, the possibility that the sample selected for testing was not representative of the population, leading to incorrect conclusions about the presence or absence of material misstatements. The extent of substantive testing was determined by assessed levels of inherent risk and control risk, with higher risk assessments requiring more extensive testing to achieve acceptable detection risk levels.

Digital audit technologies offer the potential to reduce detection risk through several mechanisms. Population-level testing enabled by big data analytics eliminates sampling risk for certain procedures by examining all transactions rather than a sample (Li, 2024). Continuous monitoring capabilities allow auditors to identify anomalies and trends in real-time rather than retrospectively, enabling earlier detection of emerging risks (George, 2025). Advanced analytical techniques including machine learning can identify complex patterns and relationships that might escape detection through traditional procedures, enhancing the probability of discovering sophisticated fraud schemes or errors (Suyono et al., 2025).

Empirical evidence on technology's impact on detection risk presents a mixed picture. Studies report improvements in anomaly detection accuracy, fraud identification rates, and audit efficiency when digital tools are properly implemented and used by skilled auditors (Suyono et al., 2025; Awad and Ali, 2024). However, these benefits are not automatic and depend critically on data quality, algorithm appropriateness, and auditor competencies to interpret technological outputs correctly. Rawashdeh (2024) emphasizes that AI-powered audit tools transform risk detection and assessment capabilities but require careful validation and human oversight to avoid false positives, false negatives, and misplaced confidence in algorithmic outputs.

The relationship between audit digitization and detection risk is moderated by several contextual factors. Data quality represents a fundamental constraint, as analytical procedures are only as reliable as the underlying data (Li, 2024). Organizations with poor data governance, inconsistent data definitions, or incomplete records may find that digital audit tools produce misleading results that increase detection risk rather than reduce it. Algorithm transparency and explainability influence auditors' ability to evaluate the reliability of technology-generated evidence and maintain appropriate professional skepticism (Iwuanyanwu et al., 2023).

Auditor competencies play a critical role in determining whether digitization reduces detection risk. Skilled auditors who understand both audit methodology and digital tools can leverage technology effectively to enhance detection capabilities (Suyono et al., 2025). In contrast, auditors lacking digital competencies may misuse tools, misinterpret outputs, or place inappropriate reliance on algorithmic recommendations, potentially increasing detection risk despite technology availability. This competency-contingent relationship underscores the importance of digital audit talent development as a prerequisite for realizing technology's risk reduction potential.

Organizational and regulatory factors also influence detection risk outcomes in digitized audits. Firms with robust governance frameworks, quality control procedures, and technology oversight mechanisms are better positioned to manage the risks associated with algorithmic audit procedures (Nirwana and Permana, 2025). Regulatory clarity regarding acceptable uses of AI and analytics in auditing,

documentation requirements, and liability allocation provides important guidance that can facilitate responsible technology adoption. Conversely, regulatory uncertainty or overly restrictive rules may inhibit beneficial technology use or create compliance burdens that offset efficiency gains.

The emergence of new risk sources in digital auditing complicates the risk detection landscape. Algorithm bias, where machine learning models systematically misclassify certain types of transactions or entities, can create blind spots in audit coverage (Bagouzi, 2025). Cybersecurity vulnerabilities in audit tools or data transmission processes may compromise evidence of integrity or confidentiality. Over-reliance on technology may erode auditors' traditional skills and professional skepticism, creating long-term risks to audit quality even as short-term efficiency improves.

3.4 Relationships Between Variables

The relationships among digital audit talent, audit digitization, and detection risk form a complex system of direct effects, mediations, and moderations that existing literature has begun to explore but not yet fully theorized or tested empirically.

The direct relationship between audit digitization and detection risk has received considerable attention, with consensus that appropriate technology use can reduce detection risk through enhanced analytical capabilities, population-level testing, and continuous monitoring (Li, 2024; Awad and Ali, 2024). However, this relationship is not uniformly positive across all contexts and implementations. Studies document cases where technology adoption failed to improve audit quality due to implementation problems, inadequate training, or misalignment between tool capabilities and audit objectives (Nirwana and Permana, 2025).

Digital audit talent appears to play multiple roles in the digitization-detection risk relationship. First, digital competencies directly influence audit quality and detection risk independent of technology use, as skilled auditors are better able to identify risks, design appropriate procedures, and exercise professional judgment (Suyono et al., 2025). Second, digital talent moderates the effectiveness of audit digitization, with technology producing greater risk reduction benefits when deployed by skilled auditors compared to less competent users. Third, digital talent may mediate the digitization-detection risk relationship, serving as a necessary mechanism through which technology influences audit outcomes.

The mediating role of digital audit talent is particularly theoretically interesting but empirically underexplored. This relationship suggests that audit digitization reduces detection risk not directly but rather by enabling skilled auditors to perform more effective procedures. Technology provides tools and information, but human judgment and expertise remain essential for translating technological capabilities into audit quality improvements. This mediation hypothesis implies that technology investments will yield limited returns in the absence of corresponding investments in human capital development, a prediction consistent with RBV theory's emphasis on complementary resources.

Organizational factors influence these relationships in important ways. Firm size, resources, and culture affect both technology adoption and talent development, creating systematic variation in digitization outcomes (Lezhanina, 2025). Large audit firms with substantial resources can invest in proprietary technologies, comprehensive training programs, and specialized digital audit teams, potentially achieving greater benefits from digitization than smaller firms. However, smaller firms may be more agile in adopting new technologies and adapting procedures, suggesting that the relationship between firm characteristics and digitization outcomes is complex.

The temporal dynamics of these relationships remain poorly understood. Technology adoption and talent development both require time, with learning curves, implementation challenges, and organizational change processes unfolding over months or years. Longitudinal studies tracking how the digitization-talent-detection risk relationships evolve over time are largely absent from current literature,

limiting understanding of optimal sequencing, critical success factors, and long-term sustainability of digitization initiatives.

Cross-national and institutional contexts introduce additional complexity. Developing economies face distinct challenges including limited technology infrastructure, skills shortages, and regulatory gaps that may alter the relationships observed in developed markets (Ibrahim and Ogunleye, 2024). Professional and regulatory environments that emphasize compliance over innovation may inhibit technology adoption or channel it toward narrow applications that produce limited quality improvements. Cultural factors influencing trust in technology, attitudes toward change, and professional identity may moderate auditors' willingness to develop digital competencies and embrace new audit approaches.

4. Methodology

This study employs a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines to identify, evaluate, and synthesize research on digital audit talent, audit digitization, and detection risk. The systematic approach ensures transparency, reproducibility, and comprehensiveness in literature identification and analysis.

The review was conducted in three sequential phases corresponding to the main constructs of interest. Phase one focused on digital audit talent, IT skills, and AI literacy in auditing. Phase two examined audit digitization technologies including AI, RPA, big data analytics, and blockchain in relation to detection risk and audit quality. Phase three explored theoretical foundations including Resource-Based View, Technology Acceptance Model, and audit expertise theory in digital auditing contexts.

Multiple academic databases were searched to ensure comprehensive coverage of relevant literature. Databases included Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, EBSCO Business Source Complete, and ProQuest. The search strategy employed Boolean combinations of keywords tailored to each phase. Phase one keywords included "digital audit talent," "auditor digital competencies," "AI literacy," "data analytics skills," "audit education," and "technology skills gap." Phase two keywords included "audit digitization," "AI in auditing," "robotic process automation audit," "big data analytics audit," "blockchain audit," "detection risk," and "audit quality." Phase three keywords included "resource-based view audit," "technology acceptance model audit," "audit expertise theory," and "digital transformation theory."

Inclusion criteria were established to focus the review on high-quality, relevant research. Studies were included if they: (1) were published between 2021 and 2026 to capture recent developments in rapidly evolving digital audit landscape; (2) addressed digital audit talent, audit digitization technologies, detection risk, or theoretical frameworks relevant to these constructs; (3) were published in peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, or reputable institutional repositories; (4) were available in English or provided English abstracts sufficient for evaluation; and (5) provided empirical evidence, theoretical development, or substantive conceptual analysis rather than purely descriptive or opinion pieces.

Exclusion criteria eliminated studies that: (1) focused on non-audit contexts without clear applicability to auditing; (2) addressed outdated technologies or practices superseded by recent developments; (3) lacked methodological rigor or theoretical grounding; (4) were duplicates or substantially overlapping with other included studies; or (5) were inaccessible despite reasonable efforts to obtain full text.

The initial search across all three phases yielded 214 potentially relevant papers. Title and abstract screening reduced this to 87 papers that clearly addressed one or more constructs of interest. Full-text review of these 87 papers applied inclusion and exclusion criteria rigorously, resulting in 30 high-quality studies retained for in-depth analysis. These 30 studies form the evidence base for this systematic

review and include journal articles, conference papers, doctoral dissertations, and working papers from reputable institutions.

Data extraction from included studies employed a structured template capturing: (1) bibliographic information; (2) research objectives and questions; (3) theoretical frameworks employed; (4) methodology and research design; (5) key findings related to digital audit talent, audit digitization, detection risk, and their relationships; (6) practical implications; and (7) limitations and future research directions. This structured extraction facilitated systematic comparison and synthesis across studies.

Thematic synthesis was conducted to identify patterns, convergences, contradictions, and gaps in literature. Studies were grouped by primary focus (talent, technology, risk, theory) and analyzed within and across groups to develop an integrated understanding of the phenomenon. Attention was paid to how studies conceptualized key constructs, what relationships they examined, what evidence they provided, and what theoretical explanations they offered.

Quality assessment of included studies considered multiple dimensions including theoretical grounding, methodological rigor, clarity of findings, and contribution to knowledge. While formal quality scoring was not employed, studies were evaluated critically throughout the synthesis process, with greater weight given to theoretically informed, methodologically sound research published in high-quality outlets. Limitations of individual studies and the overall evidence base are discussed in the findings and discussion sections.

The systematic review process was iterative, with preliminary synthesis informing additional targeted searches to address identified gaps and verify emerging patterns. This iterative approach ensured that the final synthesis represented a comprehensive and balanced assessment of current knowledge on digital audit talent, audit digitization, and detection risk.

5. Conceptual Framework and Hypotheses Development

Building on the theoretical foundations and literature synthesis presented in previous sections, this section develops a conceptual framework that integrates digital audit talent, audit digitization, and detection risk into a coherent model explaining audit quality outcomes in the digital era. The framework draws on Resource-Based View, Technology Acceptance Model, and audit competency theories to propose testable hypotheses regarding the relationships among these constructs.

The conceptual framework positions audit quality as the ultimate dependent variable of interest, with detection risk serving as a critical mediating mechanism through which digital audit talent and audit digitization influence audit effectiveness. The framework recognizes that audit quality is multidimensional, encompassing not only the technical accuracy of audit opinions but also efficiency, client value, and stakeholder confidence. However, detection risk reduction represents a core pathway through which digitization and talent influence the fundamental audit objective of identifying material misstatements.

Hypothesis 1: Audit digitization reduces detection risk

The first hypothesis proposes a direct negative relationship between audit digitization and detection risk. This hypothesis is grounded in the technological capabilities of AI, RPA, big data analytics, and blockchain to enhance audit evidence gathering, analysis, and evaluation. Specifically, audit digitization reduces detection risk through several mechanisms.

First, population-level testing enabled by big data analytics eliminates sampling risk by examining all transactions rather than a sample, fundamentally altering the probability of detecting material misstatements (Li, 2024). When auditors can test 100% of transactions for certain characteristics, the risk of missing material items due to sampling error is eliminated for those procedures. Second,

advanced analytical techniques including machine learning algorithms can identify complex patterns, anomalies, and relationships that might escape detection through traditional procedures (Suyono et al., 2025). These algorithms can process vast amounts of data to flag unusual transactions, outliers, and suspicious patterns for auditor investigation.

Third, continuous monitoring capabilities allow real-time or near-real-time detection of emerging risks rather than retrospective identification during annual audits (George, 2025). This temporal advantage enables earlier intervention and reduces the likelihood that material misstatements will remain undetected for extended periods. Fourth, automation of routine procedures through RPA reduces manual errors that contribute to detection risk while freeing auditor time for higher-risk areas requiring professional judgment (Awad and Ali, 2024).

Empirical evidence supporting this hypothesis comes from studies documenting improved anomaly detection rates, fraud identification accuracy, and audit efficiency associated with technology adoption (Suyono et al., 2025; Rawashdeh, 2024). However, the relationship is contingent on proper implementation, data quality, and appropriate use, suggesting that the hypothesis should be tested with attention to moderating factors that influence the strength and direction of the relationship.

Hypothesis 2: Digital audit talent directly improves audit quality and reduces detection risk

The second hypothesis proposes that digital audit talent has a direct positive effect on audit quality and a direct negative effect on detection risk, independent of technology use. This hypothesis is grounded in audit expertise theory and the recognition that human judgment, professional skepticism, and domain knowledge remain essential for effective auditing even in highly digitized environments.

Auditors with strong digital competencies are better able to design appropriate audit procedures, identify relevant risks, and exercise professional judgment in evaluating evidence regardless of the specific technologies employed (Suyono et al., 2025). Digital literacy enables auditors to understand data structures, assess data quality, and recognize patterns that may indicate risks. AI literacy allows auditors to critically evaluate algorithmic outputs, recognize potential biases, and maintain appropriate skepticism toward technology-generated evidence (Zhou et al., 2025).

Moreover, digital audit talent encompasses not only technical skills but also the cognitive flexibility and learning orientation required to adapt to rapidly evolving technological environments (Lahsini et al., 2024). Auditors with these characteristics are better positioned to identify emerging risks, adopt new procedures, and integrate diverse information sources into coherent risk assessments. The ethical reasoning dimension of digital audit talent ensures that auditors navigate complex dilemmas involving data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the appropriate balance between automation and human judgment (Zhou et al., 2025).

Evidence supporting this hypothesis comes from studies linking auditor competencies to audit quality outcomes and from research documenting the limitations of technology in the absence of skilled users (Nirwana and Permana, 2025). The hypothesis implies that investments in digital talent development will yield audit quality improvements even in the absence of major technology investments, though the magnitude of improvement may be constrained by available tools.

Hypothesis 3: Digital audit talent mediates the relationship between audit digitization and detection risk

The third hypothesis proposes that digital audit talent serves as a critical mediating mechanism through which audit digitization influences detection risk. This mediation hypothesis suggests that technology does not directly reduce detection risk but enables skilled auditors to perform more effective procedures, with the ultimate impact on detection risk flowing through auditor capabilities and actions.

The mediation hypothesis is grounded in RBV theory's emphasis on complementary resources and the recognition that technology and human capital must be combined synergistically to create value (Lubis et al., 2024). Sophisticated audit technologies provide capabilities and information, but realizing these capabilities require skilled auditors who can operate tools effectively, interpret outputs correctly, and integrate technological insights with professional judgment. Without adequate digital competencies,

auditors may misuse tools, misinterpret results, or place inappropriate reliance on algorithmic recommendations, potentially negating or even reversing technology's potential benefits.

The mediation mechanism operates through several pathways. First, digital audit talent determines whether and how effectively auditors adopt and use available technologies, consistent with TAM's emphasis on perceived usefulness and ease of use (Sholihat et al., 2025). Auditors lacking digital competencies may resist technology adoption or use tools superficially, limiting the impact on audit procedures and detection risk. Second, digital talent influences the quality of technology implementation, including appropriate tool selection, proper configuration, and effective integration into audit workflows. Third, digital talent determines how auditors interpret and act on technology-generated insights, with skilled auditors better able to distinguish genuine risks from false positives and to design appropriate follow-up procedures.

Evidence supporting the mediation hypothesis comes from studies documenting that technology benefits are contingent on auditor competencies and that the combination of skilled auditors and advanced tools produces superior outcomes compared to either element alone (Suyono et al., 2025). The hypothesis implies that technology investments will yield limited returns in the absence of corresponding investments in digital talent development, and conversely, that talent development initiatives will be most effective when auditors have access to appropriate technologies.

The conceptual framework incorporating these three hypotheses provides a foundation for future empirical research examining the complex relationships among digital audit talent, audit digitization, and detection risk. Testing these hypotheses will require careful operationalization of constructs, appropriate research designs that can identify causal relationships and mediating mechanisms, and attention to contextual factors that may moderate the proposed relationships.

6. Discussion

The systematic review and conceptual framework development reveal several important patterns, contradictions, and gaps in current understanding of digital audit talent, audit digitization, and detection risk. This section synthesizes key findings, interprets their implications, and identifies priorities for future research.

A central finding is the widespread recognition across studies that digital audit talent represents a critical success factor for audit digitization initiatives, yet substantial skills gaps persist throughout the profession. Multiple studies document deficiencies in data analytics, programming, AI literacy, and technology-enabled audit procedures among practicing auditors (Lahsini et al., 2024; Nirwana and Permana, 2025). These gaps are attributed to insufficient curriculum coverage in accounting education, limited continuous professional development opportunities, and uneven firm-level investments in training. The persistence of skills gaps despite growing awareness of their importance suggests systemic barriers that require coordinated action by educators, firms, and regulators rather than isolated interventions.

The literature reveals a tension between technological optimism and implementation realism. Many studies emphasize the transformative potential of AI, big data analytics, and other technologies to enhance audit quality and reduce detection risk (Bagouzi, 2025; Li, 2024). However, empirical evidence of realized benefits is mixed, with successful implementations often concentrated in large firms with substantial resources and technical capabilities. Smaller firms and developing economy contexts face significant barriers including cost, complexity, data quality issues, and talent shortages that limit technology adoption and effectiveness (Lezhanina, 2025; Ibrahim and Ogunleye, 2024). This suggests that the benefits of audit digitization may be unevenly distributed, potentially exacerbating competitive disparities between large and small firms.

A notable gap in the literature is the limited empirical testing of theoretical frameworks in digital audit contexts. While multiple studies reference RBV, TAM, or audit expertise theory, few employ these

frameworks to generate and test specific hypotheses about relationships among constructs. The conceptual framework and hypotheses developed in this paper represent an attempt to address this gap by proposing testable relationships grounded in theory. Future research should employ rigorous empirical designs including surveys, experiments, and field studies to test these hypotheses and refine theoretical understanding.

The mediating role of digital audit talent in the digitization-detection risk relationship emerges as a particularly important but underexplored mechanism. The literature provides suggestive evidence that technology benefits are contingent on auditor competencies, but few studies explicitly model or test mediation relationships (Suyono et al., 2025). Understanding this mediation is critical for both theory and practice, as it has implications for optimal sequencing of technology and talent investments, design of training programs, and allocation of resources in audit firms. Future research should employ mediation analysis techniques to quantify the direct and indirect effects of digitization on detection risk and to identify factors that strengthen or weaken the mediating role of talent.

Contradictions in the literature regarding technology's impact on audit quality warrant careful examination. Some studies report substantial improvements in fraud detection, audit efficiency, and risk assessment accuracy associated with AI and analytics adoption (Suyono et al., 2025; Rawashdeh, 2024). Others document implementation failures, algorithm errors, and cases where technology adoption failed to improve or even degraded audit quality (Nirwana and Permana, 2025). These contradictory findings likely reflect heterogeneity in implementation quality, organizational contexts, and auditor competencies across studies. Future research should systematically examine contextual factors that moderate technology effectiveness, including firm characteristics, regulatory environments, client complexity, and specific technology types.

The ethical dimensions of audit digitization receive limited attention in current literature despite their importance. Zhou et al. (2025) propose integrating ethical reasoning into digital audit talent development, and several studies mention concerns about algorithmic bias, data privacy, and appropriate human oversight. However, systematic examination of ethical dilemmas in digital auditing, frameworks for ethical decision-making, and empirical evidence on how auditors navigate these dilemmas is largely absent. This represents an important gap given the potential for AI and analytics to perpetuate biases, violate privacy, or undermine professional judgment if deployed without adequate ethical safeguards.

The temporal dynamics of digital transformation in auditing remain poorly understood. Most studies provide cross-sectional snapshots of technology adoption or talent development at a single point in time. Longitudinal research tracking how digitization initiatives unfold over time, how auditor competencies develop through experience with digital tools, and how the relationships among talent, technology, and audit outcomes evolve is largely absent. Such research is essential for understanding learning curves, identifying critical success factors at different stages of implementation, and assessing the long-term sustainability of digitization benefits.

Literature reveals significant variation in digital audit maturity across different contexts. Large international audit firms have invested heavily in proprietary technologies, established data analytics centers of excellence, and developed comprehensive training programs (Lubis et al., 2024). In contrast, smaller firms and developing economy contexts often lack resources for major technology investments and struggle with basic digital literacy among staff (Lezhanina, 2025; Ibrahim and Ogunleye, 2024). This variation suggests that one-size-fits-all approaches to audit digitization are inappropriate and that interventions must be tailored to organizational capabilities and contextual constraints.

Regulatory and standard-setting implications of audit digitization receive limited attention in current literature. While some studies mention the need for updated standards and regulatory clarity (Bagouzi,

2025), systematic examination of how existing audit standards apply to AI-enabled procedures, what new standards may be needed, and how regulators can facilitate responsible innovation while maintaining audit quality is largely absent. This gap is concerning given that regulatory uncertainty may inhibit beneficial technology adoption or create compliance burdens that offset efficiency gains.

The integration of multiple technologies into coherent audit approaches represents another underexplored area. Most studies examine individual technologies (AI, RPA, big data, blockchain) in isolation rather than investigating how they can be combined synergistically. In practice, effective audit digitization likely requires orchestrating multiple technologies into integrated workflows that leverage the complementary strengths of different tools. Research examining technology portfolios, integration challenges, and synergies among different digital audit tools would provide valuable guidance for practitioners.

7. Implications for Algeria

The digital transformation of auditing presents both significant opportunities and substantial challenges for Algeria and similar developing economies. This section examines the specific implications of digital audit talent development and audit digitization for the Algerian context, considering the country's institutional environment, economic development stage, and professional capacity.

Algeria's audit profession operates within a developing institutional framework characterized by evolving regulatory standards, limited technology infrastructure, and significant capacity constraints. The National Council of Accounting (Conseil National de la Comptabilité) and the Chamber of Auditors (Chambre Nationale des Commissaires aux Comptes) provide professional oversight, but standards and enforcement mechanisms lag international best practices. This institutional context creates both urgency and challenges for digital audit transformation.

The introduction of e-audit initiatives by Algerian authorities represents an important step toward audit modernization. However, successful implementation requires addressing several foundational challenges. First, the digital skills gap among Algerian auditors is substantial, with limited exposure to data analytics, programming, and AI literacy in both university curricula and continuing professional education (Lahsini et al., 2024). Most accounting programs in Algerian universities emphasize traditional financial accounting and auditing topics with minimal coverage of information technology, data science, or digital audit tools. This educational gap produces graduates unprepared for technology-intensive audit environments.

Addressing the skills gap requires coordinated reform of audit education in Algeria. Universities should integrate data analytics, IT audit, and digital competencies throughout accounting curricula rather than treating them as elective specializations. This integration requires developing faculty expertise through professional development programs, establishing partnerships with technology companies and international audit firms, and investing in laboratory infrastructure that provides hands-on experience with audit software and analytics tools. The model proposed by Wu (2025) for tiered digital audit talent training could be adapted to the Algerian context, with different pathways for students, early-career auditors, and experienced professionals.

Continuing professional education represents another critical intervention point. The Chamber of Auditors should mandate digital competency development as part of continuing education requirements and facilitate access to training programs through partnerships with international professional bodies, technology vendors, and academic institutions. Online learning platforms can help overcome geographic barriers and resource constraints that limit access to quality training in Algeria's regions outside major cities.

Technology infrastructure limitations pose significant challenges for audit digitization in Algeria. Many Algerian companies, particularly small and medium enterprises, maintain manual or semi-automated accounting systems with limited data quality and integration. Auditors seeking to employ big data analytics or AI-powered tools may find that client data is unavailable, incomplete, or in formats that resist automated analysis (Li, 2024). This reality suggests that audit digitization in Algeria must proceed incrementally, beginning with basic computer-assisted audit techniques and data analytics before advancing to more sophisticated AI and machine learning applications.

The regulatory environment requires updating to accommodate and guide digital audit practices. Current Algerian audit standards provide limited guidance on the use of AI, analytics, or automated audit procedures, creating uncertainty about acceptable practices, documentation requirements, and professional liability. Regulators should develop clear standards for technology-enabled audits that balance innovation encouragement with quality assurance and risk management. International standards such as those developed by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) can provide models, but adaptation to Algerian legal and institutional contexts is essential.

Resource constraints in Algerian audit firms, particularly smaller practices that dominate the market, limit the feasibility of major technology investments. While large international firms operating in Algeria can leverage global technology platforms and training programs, local firms often lack capital for software licenses, hardware infrastructure, and training initiatives. This disparity risks creating a two-tier audit market where large firms offer technology-enabled audits while smaller firms remain dependent on traditional methods, potentially affecting audit quality and competitive dynamics.

Public sector auditing in Algeria faces distinct challenges and opportunities for digitization. The Court of Accounts (Cour des Comptes) and regional audit chambers conduct financial and performance audits of government entities, public enterprises, and local authorities. Digital audit tools could enhance the effectiveness of public sector auditing by enabling analysis of large government databases, identification of fraud and waste, and continuous monitoring of public expenditures (Sholihat et al., 2025). However, implementation requires addressing data quality issues in government systems, developing auditor competencies, and establishing governance frameworks for responsible technology use in the public sector.

The Algerian government's broader digital transformation initiatives, including e-government platforms and digital payment systems, create both opportunities and imperatives for audit digitization. As economic transactions increasingly occur through digital channels, auditors must develop capabilities to audit digital business models, electronic records, and technology-mediated controls. Failure to build these capabilities will create an audit competency gap that undermines financial reporting reliability and investor confidence.

International cooperation and knowledge transfer can accelerate Algeria's digital audit transformation. Partnerships with international professional bodies such as ACCA, IFAC, and regional organizations can provide access to training materials, best practices, and technical expertise. Twinning arrangements with audit regulators and professional bodies in countries further advanced in digital audit adoption can facilitate knowledge transfer and capacity building. However, such partnerships must be designed to build sustainable local capacity rather than creating dependency on external expertise.

Cultural and linguistic factors influence technology adoption in Algeria's audit profession. While French remains the dominant language in business and professional contexts, increasing emphasis on Arabic and growing English proficiency among younger professionals create multilingual dynamics that affect training delivery and technology interfaces. Audit software and training materials must accommodate this linguistic diversity to ensure accessibility and effectiveness.

The private sector's digital transformation, including adoption of ERP systems, e-commerce platforms, and digital financial services by Algerian companies, creates demand for auditors with digital competencies. Audit firms that develop these capabilities can differentiate themselves in the market and capture growing demand for technology-enabled audit services. This market dynamic provides economic incentives for firms to invest in digital talent development and technology adoption despite resource constraints.

Policy recommendations for Algeria's digital audit transformation include: (1) mandating digital competency standards for auditor licensing and continuing education; (2) providing financial incentives or subsidies for audit firms, particularly smaller practices, to invest in technology and training; (3) establishing a national digital audit competency center to develop training materials, provide technical assistance, and facilitate knowledge sharing; (4) updating audit standards and regulations to provide clear guidance on technology-enabled audit procedures; (5) reforming university accounting curricula to integrate digital competencies throughout programs; (6) fostering partnerships between academia, practice, and technology providers to ensure training relevance and access to tools; and (7) participating in international initiatives to learn from global best practices while adapting approaches to local contexts.

8. Conclusion

This systematic literature review has examined the emerging research on digital audit talent, audit digitization, and detection risk, synthesizing findings from 30 high-quality studies published between 2021 and 2026. The review reveals that digital transformation is fundamentally reshaping the audit profession, introducing powerful technologies that promise enhanced audit quality while simultaneously creating new competency requirements and implementation challenges.

Digital audit talent emerges as a multidimensional construct encompassing technical skills in data analytics, programming, and AI literacy alongside cognitive capabilities including professional judgment, ethical reasoning, and domain knowledge. Literature documents substantial skills gaps throughout the profession, with insufficient curriculum coverage in accounting education and limited continuous professional development opportunities leaving many auditors unprepared for technology-intensive environments. Addressing these gaps requires coordinated action by educators, audit firms, and regulators to reform curricula, expand training programs, and establish digital competency standards.

Audit digitization through AI, RPA, big data analytics, and blockchain offers significant potential to reduce detection risk and enhance audit quality. These technologies enable population-level testing, continuous monitoring, sophisticated anomaly detection, and enhanced evidence reliability that surpass traditional audit methods. However, the realization of these benefits is contingent on multiple factors including data quality, appropriate implementation, organizational support, and critically, auditor competencies to use tools effectively and interpret outputs correctly.

The conceptual framework developed in this paper proposes three key hypotheses regarding relationships among digital audit talent, audit digitization, and detection risk. First, audit digitization directly reduces detection risk through enhanced analytical capabilities and comprehensive testing. Second, digital audit talent directly improves audit quality and reduces detection risk independent of specific technologies employed. Third, digital audit talent mediates the relationship between audit digitization and detection risk, serving as a critical mechanism through which technology influences audit outcomes. These hypotheses, grounded in Resource-Based View, Technology Acceptance Model, and audit competency theories, provide a foundation for future empirical research.

The review identifies several important research gaps that warrant attention. Limited empirical testing of theoretical frameworks in digital audit contexts constrains theoretical development and practical

guidance. The mediating role of digital audit talent in the digitization-detection risk relationship requires explicit modeling and testing through rigorous research designs. Longitudinal studies tracking how digitization initiatives and auditor competencies evolve over time are largely absent but essential for understanding learning curves and long-term sustainability. Ethical dimensions of audit digitization, including algorithmic bias, data privacy, and appropriate human oversight, receive insufficient attention despite their importance. Cross-national comparative research examining how institutional contexts influence digitization outcomes would provide valuable insights for developing economies.

Practical implications for audit firms emphasize the need for simultaneous investment in technology infrastructure and human capital development. Technology alone will not improve audit quality; it must be paired with skilled auditors, robust governance frameworks, and organizational cultures that support innovation while maintaining professional standards. Firms should develop comprehensive digital talent strategies including recruitment of digitally skilled professionals, training programs for existing staff, and organizational structures that facilitate knowledge sharing and continuous learning.

Implications for educators highlight the urgency of curriculum reform to integrate digital competencies throughout accounting programs. Data analytics, programming, AI literacy, and technology-enabled audit procedures should be core components of audit education rather than elective specializations. Partnerships between academic and practice can ensure curriculum relevance and provide students with hands-on experience using real audit technologies. Faculty development initiatives are essential to build teaching capacity in digital auditing topics.

Regulatory and standard-setting bodies face the challenge of updating frameworks to accommodate technology-enabled audits while maintaining quality and managing risks. Clear guidance on acceptable uses of AI and analytics, documentation requirements, and professional liability allocation can facilitate responsible innovation. Standards should be principles-based to accommodate rapid technological change while providing sufficient specificity to ensure consistent application.

For developing economies such as Algeria, digital audit transformation presents both opportunities to leapfrog traditional development stages and challenges related to resource constraints, infrastructure limitations, and capacity gaps. Successful transformation requires tailored approaches that recognize local contexts, incremental implementation strategies that build on existing capabilities, and international cooperation to facilitate knowledge transfer and capacity building. Policy interventions should address education reform, technology access, regulatory modernization, and professional development in coordinated ways.

The digital transformation of auditing is not a distant future prospect but a present reality that is reshaping professional practice, competency requirements, and audit outcomes. The profession stands at a critical juncture where strategic investments in digital talent development and responsible technology adoption will determine audit quality, professional relevance, and public trust for decades to come. This systematic review provides a foundation for understanding the complex relationships among digital audit talent, audit digitization, and detection risk, while highlighting the substantial work remaining to fully theorize, empirically test, and practically implement digital audit transformation.

Future research should prioritize empirical testing of the proposed conceptual framework through surveys, experiments, and field studies that can identify causal relationships and mediating mechanisms. Mixed methods design combining quantitative analysis of audit outcomes with qualitative investigation of implementation processes would provide rich insights into how digitization succeeds or fails in practice. Longitudinal studies tracking technology adoption and competency development over time are essential for understanding dynamic processes and long-term impacts. Cross-national comparative research can illuminate how institutional contexts shape digitization outcomes and identify transferable lessons across different settings.

The journey toward fully digitized, data-driven auditing has begun, but the destination remains uncertain. Success will require not only technological innovation but also human capital development, organizational transformation, regulatory adaptation, and sustained commitment from all stakeholders in the audit ecosystem. This systematic review contributes to that journey by synthesizing current knowledge, identifying gaps and priorities, and proposing a theoretical framework to guide future research and practice. The goal is an audit profession that leverages digital technologies responsibly and effectively to enhance audit quality, reduce detection risk, and maintain public trust in financial reporting.

References

Awad, K. A., & Ali, W. (2024). Utilizing robotic process automation and artificial intelligence in auditing to mitigate audit risks. *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, *66*(1). https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v66i1.12043

Bagouzi, K. Abi K., Serdouk F.(2025). The future of auditing: How AI will transform the profession by 2030. *Journal of International Commercial Law and Technology*. https://doi.org/10.61336/jiclt/25-01-33

George, A. S. (2025). Intelligent integration and emerging technologies transforming IT audit beyond compliance in digital disruption. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17311477

Ghule, S., & Bhalekar, P. (2025). FinTech disruption and its influence on audit practices in the banking sector. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18206755

Ibrahim, B. O., & Ogunleye, O. J. (2024). Impact of technological advancements on audit and internal controls mechanism in Nigerian banks. *Lagos Journal of Banking, Finance & Economic Issues*. https://uljgs.unilag.edu.ng/index.php/LJBFEI/article/view/3047

Iwuanyanwu, U., Apeh, A. J., Adaramodu, O. R., Okeleke, E. C., & Fakeyede, O. G. (2023). Analyzing the role of artificial intelligence in IT audit: Current practices and future prospects. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *4*(2). https://doi.org/10.51594/csitrj.v4i2.606

Lezhanina, V. (2025). Peculiarities of auditing small and medium-sized enterprises in the context of digitalization. *Universal Library of Business and Economics*. https://doi.org/10.70315/uloap.ulbec.2025.0201009

Li, S. (2024). Research and prospect of big data audit technology. *International Business & Economics Studies*, *6*(2), 92–101. https://doi.org/10.22158/ibes.v6n2p92

Lubis, P. S., Kesuma, S. A., & Muda, I. (2024). Technological innovations in auditing: A systematic literature review on the use of AI and digitalization. *Journal of Business and Behavioral Entrepreneurship*, *8*(1). Retrieved from https://journal.unj.ac.id/unj/index.php/jobbe/article/view/55019

Nirwana, E., & Permana, D. (2025). Digital transformation in auditing practices: Challenges, opportunities, and implications for audit quality in the Big Data era. *Jurnal Riset Rumpun Ilmu Ekonomi*, *4*(1). https://doi.org/10.55606/jurrie.v4i1.4970

Lahsini, H., & Taouab, O. (2024). Adapting to the Digital Era: Transformations in the audit profession and the emergence of new skills. *Revue Internationale des Sciences de Gestion*, *7*(1).

Liang, L., Dai, T., Cui, L., & Song, M. (2025). Digital audit talent's impact on audit digitization and detection risk. *Scientific Reports*, *15*(1), 31222.

Phan, T. T. H., & Ngo, T. T. H. (2025). Information technology auditing in the AI era. *Journal of Finance, Accounting and Auditing Research*, *25*(297). https://doi.org/10.71374/jfarv.v25.i297.07

Pope, R. (2023). *Industry 4.0 internal audit: The impact of big data analytics on manufacturing audit quality and auditor well-being* [Doctoral thesis, Anglia Ruskin University]. ARU Repository. Retrieved from https://aru.figshare.com/articles/thesis/30896210

Rawashdeh, B. (2024). AI-powered audit: Transforming risk detection and assessment. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/397305556

Ryabchuk, O., & Rakut, D. (2025). Auditing and artificial intelligence: How technology is changing the auditing profession. *Derzhava ta Rehiony*, *1*. https://doi.org/10.32782/1814-1161/2025-1-9

Sholihat, P. A., Basri, Y. M., & Nasrizal, N. (2025). Optimizing regional government budget absorption through planning, human resources quality, and information technology support. *Revista Catarinense da Ciência Contábil*, *24*. https://doi.org/10.16930/2237-7662202441

Skubachevskii, A. L. (2025). De la technologie à la gouvernance: L'impact de la blockchain sur l'audit et la confiance des parties prenantes dans les établissements publics. Zenodo. Retrieved from https://zenodo.org/records/14766540

Suyono, W. P., Puspa, E. S., Anugrah, S., & Firnanda, R. (2025). Redefining fraud detection: The synergy between auditor competency and AI-powered audit analytics. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Business*, *4*(3). https://doi.org/10.31004/riggs.v4i3.2066

Syed, A. D. S. A. (2024). Technological innovation in corporate governance and internal audit performance: The moderating role of digital skills and knowledge of internal auditors. *Asian Journal of Accounting and Governance*. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/download/125905423

Wu, L. (2025). Research and practice of digital audit talent training model based on "Big Cloud and Mobile Intelligent Area" technology. *Xiandai Guanli*, *15*(1). https://doi.org/10.12677/mm.2025.151027

Yurttabir, A. (2024). Enhancing organizational resilience: The role of digital transformation tools in performance auditing. *Denetişim*. Retrieved from https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/denetisim/article/1737724

Zhou, J., Li, J., Li, Q., Zhang, C., & Liao, L. Z. (2025). Generative artificial intelligence and cultivation of auditing professionals. *Frontiers in Humanities and Social Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.54691/c3j90c79

Zurapov, A. U. (2025). Digital transformation in accounting and its impact on the audit process. *International Journal of Innovations in Engineering Research and Technology*. Retrieved from https://repo.ijiert.org