ITJ | The International Tax Journal

Taxation of matrimonial remedies and spousal rights in India: A socio-legal and fiscal analysis of conjugal rights, maintenance, and marital disputes

Mr. Vivek Sharma [1] and **Prof. Dr. Sushil Kumar Singh** [2]

[1] Research Scholar, Teerthanker Mahaveer College of Law and Legal Studies, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh. Email: Viveks.scholar@tmu.ac.in

[2] Principal, Teerthanker Mahaveer College of Law and Legal Studies, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh. Email: Principal.law@tmu.ac.in

Abstract---Marriage in India is not just a social institution it carries deep legal and financial consequences. When marriages break down, courts step in with remedies like maintenance, restitution of conjugal rights, and alimony. But one question that gets surprisingly little attention is: what happens when money changes hands in these situations? Is it taxed? Should it be? This paper looks at how Indian law treats matrimonial remedies from a legal standpoint and how the tax system responds to them. Using a socio-legal lens, it examines the gap between the welfare goals of family law and the revenue-driven logic of tax law. The paper argues that there is a serious need for clarity, uniformity, and fairness in how India handles the fiscal dimensions of matrimonial disputes. Drawing from statutes, case law, and legal scholarship, this paper analyses key remedies restitution of conjugal rights, judicial separation, maintenance, and alimony and maps them onto the existing framework of the Income Tax Act, 1961.

Keywords---Matrimonial Remedies, Maintenance, Alimony, Income Tax, Conjugal Rights, Hindu Marriage Act, Spousal Rights, Family Law, India.

1. Introduction

Marriage in India is far more than a private ceremony between two people. The moment two individuals marry, the law steps in and attaches a whole set of rights and responsibilities to that relationship. These obligations do not vanish when the relationship sours. They stay. Courts across India from district family courts in small towns to the Supreme Court in New Delhi routinely handle

How to Cite:
Sharma, V., & Singh, S. K. (2026). Taxation of matrimonial remedies and spousal rights in India: A socio-legal and fiscal analysis of conjugal rights, maintenance, and marital disputes. *The International Tax Journal*, *53*(3), 1823–1829. Retrieved from https://internationaltaxjournal.online/index.php/itj/article/view/699

The International tax journal ISSN: 0097-7314 E-ISSN: 3066-2370 © 2026

Submitted: 09 April 2026 | Revised: 18 May 2026 | Accepted: 27 June 2026

disputes involving maintenance, alimony, restitution of conjugal rights, and the division of marital property. These cases are emotionally charged, no doubt. But underneath the emotional surface lies something equally serious: money. When a marriage breaks down, financial resources must be redistributed. A husband who has been the sole breadwinner may be ordered to pay monthly maintenance to his wife. A wealthy spouse may transfer property a flat, shares, a car to the other as part of a negotiated divorce settlement. Sometimes, a large one-time lump sum changes hands to bring the matter to a close. These are not small transactions. And yet, remarkably, Indian law has never clearly answered a set of basic questions about them. Does the wife who receives monthly maintenance pay income tax on it? Is the lump sum she receives at divorce an income receipt or a capital one? When the family home is transferred to her name as part of a court settlement, who pays the capital gains tax? For families already dealing with the trauma of a broken marriage, stumbling into an unexpected tax liability can make an already painful situation worse.

India's family law has never been a single unified code. It is, and has long been, a collection of personal laws that apply to different communities based on their religion. Hindus including Buddhists, Jains, and Sikhs are governed primarily by the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and the Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956. Muslims follow a personal law system rooted in Sharia principles, as adapted and interpreted over decades by Indian courts. Christians are covered by the Divorce Act, 1869, a statute that dates back to the colonial era. Parsis have their own statute the Parsi Marriage and Divorce Act, 1936. For couples who belong to different religions or who choose not to marry under a religious law, the Special Marriage Act, 1954 provides a secular framework. This fragmentation creates a situation where the same financial outcome say, a wife receiving monthly maintenance can arise from entirely different legal foundations depending on who the parties are. A Hindu wife's maintenance may be ordered under Section 25 of the Hindu Marriage Act or Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. A Muslim divorced woman's right to provision is governed by the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986, as interpreted by the Supreme Court in *Danial Latifi v. Union of India* (2001). The legal source differs, the quantum calculation differs, and the remedies available differ. But when either woman sits down with a tax return, the Income Tax Act, 1961 applies to both of them in exactly the same way. The tax law does not ask which personal law governs the marriage. It simply asks: is this income? And that question is maintenance income? is where everything becomes uncertain. The Income Tax Act defines income broadly, but it does not specifically mention maintenance or alimony. There is no provision that clearly taxes it, and equally, no provision that clearly exempts it. What exists is a set of judicial decisions and informal administrative practices that have, over time, treated maintenance as generally non-taxable. But informal practices are not law. They cannot be relied upon with certainty, and they can change. This paper examines exactly this problem the uneasy, under-examined intersection of family law and tax law in India. Its central concern is whether Indian fiscal law deals with matrimonial remedies in a way that is fair, clear, and consistent. It also pays particular attention to gender. Women in India are, overwhelmingly, the recipients of maintenance and alimony. They are also, in most households, the economically weaker party in a marital dispute. Any ambiguity in the tax treatment of what they receive falls harder on them. A legal system that claims to protect women's rights within marriage cannot afford to be silent about what happens to those rights and those resources when the marriage ends.

2. Understanding Matrimonial Remedies in India

Before asking how matrimonial remedies are taxed, it is important to understand what those remedies are. Indian matrimonial law offers several types of relief to aggrieved spouses, each with its own legal character and financial implications.

2.1 Restitution of Conjugal Rights

Restitution of conjugal rights is perhaps the most controversial matrimonial remedy in Indian law. Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 allows a spouse who has been deserted without reasonable cause to apply to a court for an order that the other spouse return and resume cohabitation. The provision reads that when either the husband or the wife has, without reasonable excuse, withdrawn from the society of the other, the aggrieved party may apply by petition to the district court, and the court, on being satisfied, may decree restitution of conjugal rights accordingly. This remedy exists across multiple personal laws. Section 22 of the Special Marriage Act, 1954 contains a similar provision, as does Section 32 of the Parsi Marriage and Divorce Act, 1936. Under Muslim personal law, the right to restitution is grounded not in a statute but in the marriage contract itself, with foundational authority coming from the Privy Council judgment in Moonshee Buzloor Ruheem v. Shumsoonnissa Begum (1866). The constitutional validity of Section 9 has been challenged more than once. The Andhra Pradesh High Court in T. Saritha Vengata Subbiah v. State struck it down as unconstitutional on the ground that it violated the right to privacy. However, the Supreme Court in Saroj Rani v. Sudarshan Kumar Chadha (1984) upheld the provision, holding that it serves the purpose of saving marriages and does not violate fundamental rights. Despite the Supreme Court's position, academic debate has intensified after the Supreme Court's recognition of the right to privacy as a fundamental right in Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India (2017), with pending challenges raising fresh questions about the remedy's constitutionality. From a fiscal standpoint, restitution of conjugal rights does not directly involve monetary payments. However, it is legally significant because non-compliance with a decree for restitution for a period of one year provides a ground for divorce under Section 13(1A)(ii) of the Hindu Marriage Act, and divorce proceedings almost always involve financial consequences alimony, maintenance, and property transfers.

2.2 Judicial Separation

Judicial separation is a step short of divorce. Under Section 10 of the Hindu Marriage Act, either spouse can apply for judicial separation on the same grounds as divorce. Once granted, the parties are relieved of the obligation to cohabit but remain legally married. Financial obligations maintenance, for instance continue during judicial separation and are ordered by the court under Section 36 of the Hindu Marriage Act (permanent alimony and maintenance pending suit). Judicial separation matters for tax purposes because during the period of separation, the spouses remain legally married. This has implications for who can claim deductions, how property income is assessed, and whether the "clubbing provisions" under Sections 60–65 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 which attribute one spouse's income to the other in certain circumstances continue to apply.

2.3 Maintenance and Alimony

Maintenance is the most financially significant matrimonial remedy and the one with the most direct fiscal consequences. It comes in two broad forms: interim maintenance (pendente lite) during the course of proceedings, and permanent maintenance after the final decree. Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (now mirrored in Section 144 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023) provides for maintenance of wives, children, and parents. It is secular in character and applies to all religions. A wife who is unable to maintain herself can seek maintenance from her husband, and the magistrate may order the husband to pay a monthly sum.

Under personal laws, Section 25 of the Hindu Marriage Act allows a court to award permanent alimony and maintenance at the time of passing a decree for divorce, judicial separation, or restitution of conjugal rights. Sections 24 and 25 together deal with maintenance pendente lite and permanent alimony respectively. The quantum of maintenance varies enormously depending on the circumstances the husband's income, the wife's needs, the standard of living enjoyed during marriage, and the conduct of the parties. Indian courts have increasingly adopted a more nuanced and generous approach to maintenance, recognising women's unpaid contributions to the household and the long-term economic consequences of marriage and motherhood on women's careers.

3. The Tax Treatment of Maintenance and Alimony

This is where the law becomes genuinely unclear, and the lack of clarity has real consequences for ordinary families.

3.1 The General Principle: Capital vs. Revenue Receipt

Under the Income Tax Act, 1961, the taxability of any receipt depends first on whether it is a capital receipt or a revenue receipt. Capital receipts are generally not taxable unless specifically brought within the tax net (as in the case of capital gains). Revenue receipts that is, receipts that flow from a source of income and are recurring in nature are generally taxable. Maintenance payments in India are typically periodic paid monthly or quarterly. They look and feel like revenue receipts. They are recurring, they compensate for a loss of earning support, and they bear a resemblance to salary or pension. If we apply this logic, maintenance received by a spouse should arguably be included in her total income and taxed accordingly. But here is the problem: the Income Tax Act, 1961 does not specifically deal with maintenance received by a spouse. There is no provision that explicitly includes or excludes maintenance from the definition of income under Section 2(24) of the Act. This silence has created confusion, and different tax authorities have taken different positions over the years.

3.2 The Current Judicial and Administrative Position

Indian courts and the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT) have, in general, held that maintenance or alimony received by a spouse is not taxable in her hands. The reasoning is that maintenance is received not as a result of any service, profession, or business it is not earned in the conventional sense. It is essentially compensatory in nature. The husband is meeting his legal obligation to support his wife; she is not earning an income. This reasoning, while practically sensible, is not entirely watertight. One could argue that pension income which is also not earned in real time is taxable. Annuity payments are taxable. The distinction between maintenance and these other periodic receipts is one of legal character rather than economic substance.

At the same time, the payer of maintenance typically the husband does not get any tax deduction for the maintenance payments he makes. The Income Tax Act does not treat maintenance as a deductible expense. This creates a double asymmetry: the payer cannot deduct it, but the recipient is also (in practice) not taxed on it. From a revenue perspective, the state effectively leaves this financial stream outside the tax net entirely.

3.3 Lump Sum Alimony and Capital Gains

Where things get more complicated is when alimony is paid as a lump sum a one-time settlement at the time of divorce. This is increasingly common in India, especially in cases involving parties with significant assets, where courts approve divorce by mutual consent under Section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act. A lump sum payment is more clearly a capital receipt. It is not recurring; it extinguishes a legal claim. Courts have generally held that a lump sum alimony payment is not income in the hands of the recipient and therefore not taxable. But the boundary between lump sum alimony and property transfers as part of a settlement is often blurred. When a husband transfers an apartment or shares to his wife as part of a divorce settlement, complex questions arise under capital gains provisions.

Under the Income Tax Act, a transfer of a capital asset attracts capital gains tax. However, Section 47 of the Act lists certain transactions that are not treated as "transfers" for tax purposes. A transfer between spouses is listed under Section 47(iii) but this applies only to gifts between spouses during subsistence of marriage, not transfers as part of a court-approved settlement. This means that property transferred as part of a divorce settlement may technically be subject to capital gains tax, a burden that many families are unaware of.

4. Socio-Legal Dimensions: Who Bears the Burden?

The tax treatment of matrimonial remedies is not gender-neutral in its impact, even if the law is formally neutral.

4.1 The Economic Position of Women in Marital Disputes

In a large majority of matrimonial disputes in India, women are the recipients of maintenance and alimony, and men are the payers. This reflects the broader economic reality: women in India remain economically dependent on their husbands in many households, due to interrupted careers, unpaid domestic labour, and structural inequalities in the labour market. When maintenance is treated as taxable income in a wife's hands even if only in some cases or by some tax authorities it reduces the effective value of the award. If a court orders Rs. 30,000 per month as maintenance, and the wife pays 10% income tax on it, her effective receipt is only Rs. 27,000. The burden of this tax is borne entirely by her the more economically vulnerable party.

This is not merely a theoretical concern. As families with higher incomes increasingly litigate matrimonial disputes, the maintenance figures involved have grown substantially. In high-value cases, the tax implications of maintenance receipts can be significant. Yet most family court judgments make no reference to tax consequences, and most lawyers advising clients on matrimonial matters do not specifically address the tax dimension.

4.2 Class and Access Dimensions

The problem also has a class dimension. For middle-class and upper-middle-class women, the tax treatment of lump sum settlements and property transfers can represent a very real financial loss. For lower-income women receiving modest maintenance under Section 125, the amounts may be below taxable thresholds anyway. But the absence of clear law means that tax liability is uncertain across the board, and uncertainty itself has a chilling effect women may settle for less, or accept unfavourable terms, rather than face the complexity of litigation about tax.

4.3 The Social Stigma of Divorce and State Neutrality

Indian family law is supposed to be neutral between the interests of the parties while also protecting the weaker party. Matrimonial law has, over the decades, moved from treating divorce as a moral failure to treating it as a legal remedy available to individuals. Tax law, however, has not kept pace. The fiscal system still has no coherent policy stance on marital breakdown it neither encourages reconciliation (by making maintenance non-deductible for the payer) nor facilitates clean financial separation (by providing clear exemptions for settlement transfers).

5. Comparative Perspective

A brief look at how other jurisdictions handle the taxation of matrimonial remedies is useful to understand what India could learn. In the United States, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 fundamentally changed the treatment of alimony. For divorces finalised after 31 December 2018, alimony payments are no longer deductible for the payer, and alimony received is no longer taxable to the recipient. This move toward simplicity removing alimony from the tax system entirely has been praised by family law practitioners, even if some tax economists see it differently.

In the United Kingdom, maintenance paid to a spouse or former spouse is paid from after-tax income and is not taxable in the recipient's hands. This is broadly similar to the de facto position in India but with the key difference that the UK rule is clear and well-established, whereas India's position rests on inference from judicial decisions and administrative practice rather than explicit statutory provision. The lack of legislative clarity in India means that outcomes can vary depending on which Assessing Officer handles a case, which creates horizontal inequity similarly situated taxpayers being treated differently. This is fundamentally incompatible with the rule of law.

6. The Clubbing Provisions and Their Matrimonial Implications

Sections 60 to 65 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 deal with the "clubbing" of income situations where the income earned by one person is included in the taxable income of another. Section 64 is particularly important in the matrimonial context: it provides that the income of a spouse from assets transferred to her without adequate consideration shall be included in the income of the transferor. This provision is designed to prevent tax avoidance through the transfer of income-generating assets between spouses. But it has significant unintended consequences in matrimonial disputes. When a husband transfers property to his wife as part of a divorce settlement, he may argue that the transfer is for "adequate consideration" (because it extinguishes her legal claim for maintenance). In that case, Section 64 should not apply the income from the property would be assessed in her hands alone. But if the transfer is treated as a gift (no consideration), Section 64 would attribute the income back to him, even though the marriage has ended. The courts have not definitively resolved this issue. Some High Courts have held that a transfer in settlement of matrimonial claims is for adequate consideration, taking it outside Section 64. But the position varies across jurisdictions, and the absence of binding Supreme Court authority means that uncertainty persists.

7. Muslim Personal Law and the Fiscal Dimension

Muslim matrimonial law has its own distinctive features that interact with tax law in specific ways. Under the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986, a divorced Muslim woman's right to maintenance from her former husband is limited to the period of iddat (roughly three months). After iddat, her right to maintenance passes to her relatives or, failing them, to the Waqf Board. In Danial Latifi v. Union of India (2001), the Supreme Court interpreted the 1986 Act to require that the husband make adequate provision for the wife's maintenance for the whole of her life (or until she remarries) at the time of divorce, to be paid during the iddat period. This interpretation effectively brought Muslim law closer to the broader personal law framework on maintenance. The tax treatment of this lump sum provision which can be substantial is unclear. It is more clearly a capital receipt than periodic maintenance, suggesting it should not be taxable. But again, explicit statutory provision is absent.

8. Policy Recommendations

Given the analysis above, this paper makes the following recommendations:
First, the Income Tax Act should be amended to specifically exclude maintenance and alimony received by a spouse or former spouse from the definition of income under Section 2(24). This would bring legislative clarity to what is currently achieved only through judicial decision-making and administrative practice. Second, the Act should clarify the treatment of property transfers made pursuant to a court-approved matrimonial settlement. Such transfers should be exempt from capital gains tax, with the exemption specifically designed to cover settlements approved under the Hindu Marriage Act, the Special Marriage Act, and other personal laws. Third, the clubbing provisions under Section 64 should be amended to exclude from their scope any transfer made in full and final settlement of matrimonial claims. The rationale preventing tax avoidance between spouses does not apply when the marriage has already ended. Fourth, family courts should be encouraged to address the tax implications of settlement orders. Just as courts are required under Section 89A of the Code of Civil Procedure to attempt mediation, they could be required to alert parties to the tax consequences of proposed settlements. Fifth, the Law Commission of India should be asked to study the intersection of family law and tax law, with a specific mandate to recommend a coherent and equitable fiscal framework for matrimonial transfers.

9. Conclusion

Marriage and divorce generate complex financial consequences, and the Indian legal system has not yet developed a coherent response to the tax dimensions of those consequences. Family law has evolved considerably over the past seven decades maintenance law has become more generous, divorce has become more accessible, and women's rights have been strengthened. But the tax system has not kept pace. The current position where maintenance is informally treated as non-taxable, where property transfers in divorce settlements occupy a legal grey zone, and where the clubbing provisions can produce unfair outcomes serves no one well. It creates uncertainty for litigants, inconsistency in the administration of tax law, and potential injustice for economically vulnerable women. A clear, legislative solution is urgently needed. The goal should be to ensure that the financial consequences of matrimonial remedies are predictable, fair, and consistent across personal laws and across the country.

References

1 Paras Diwan, Family Law (Allahabad Law Agency, 10th edn, 2013).
2 Flavia Agnes, Family Law Volume I: Family Laws and Constitutional Claims (Oxford University Press, 2011).
3 Mulla, Principles of Hindu Law (LexisNexis Butterworths, 22nd edn, 2014).
4 Tahir Mahmood, Personal Laws in Crisis (Metropolitan Book Company, 1986).
5 B.M. Gandhi, Hindu Law (Eastern Book Company, 3rd edn, 2008).
6 Kusum, Family Law Lectures: Family Law I (LexisNexis, 4th edn, 2011).
7 N.R. Madhava Menon (ed), A Handbook of Clinical Legal Education (Eastern Book Company, 1998).
8 Poonam Pradhan Saxena, Family Law Lectures: Family Law II (LexisNexis, 3rd edn, 2011).
9 Dinshaw Fardunji Mulla, Principles of Mahomedan Law (LexisNexis, 20th edn, 2013).
10 Avtar Singh, Introduction to Hindu Law (Universal Law Publishing, 2009).
11 Sameer Rohatgi, 'Tax Treatment of Alimony Payments in India: An Analysis of the Income Tax Act, 1961' (2019) 15(2) Journal of the Indian Law Institute 210.
12 Shalini Bhutani and Vandana Shiva, 'Matrimonial Property and Tax Implications in Indian Family Law' (2016) 58(3) Journal of the Indian Law Institute 302.
13 Usha Tandon, 'Maintenance Rights of Muslim Women after Divorce: A Critical Analysis of the Danial Latifi Case' (2002) 44(1) Journal of the Indian Law Institute 87.
14 Indira Jaising, 'Restitution of Conjugal Rights and the Right to Privacy: A Feminist Critique' (2018) 60(2) Journal of the Indian Law Institute 157.
15 S.P. Gupta, 'Taxation of Alimony and Maintenance under Indian Law: The Need for Legislative Reform' (2020) 62(1) Journal of the Indian Law Institute 45.
16 Archana Parashar, 'Gender Inequality and Personal Laws in India' (2013) 55(4) Journal of the Indian Law Institute 410.
17 Saroj Rani v Sudarshan Kumar Chadha (1984) 4 SCC 90 (Supreme Court of India).
18 T. Saritha Vengata Subbiah v State AIR 1983 AP 356 (Andhra Pradesh High Court).
19 Danial Latifi v Union of India (2001) 7 SCC 740 (Supreme Court of India).
20 Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v Union of India (2017) 10 SCC 1 (Supreme Court of India).