ITJ | The International Tax Journal

Reshaping knowledge management in the digital age through the integration of artificial intelligence and deep knowledge

Hasnaoui Balbal [1] and **Nasser Bouchareb** [2]

[1] Laboratory for Partnership and Investment in Small and Medium Enterprises in the Euro-Maghreb Space, Faculty of Economics, Business and Management Sciences, Setif1 University - Ferhat Abbas, Setif, Algeria. Email: balbal.hasnaoui@univ-setif.dz. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-0519-5401
[2] Ferhat Abbas University Setif 1, Algeria. Email: nbouchareb@univ-setif.dz. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9248-4211
*Corresponding author: balbal.hasnaoui@univ-setif.dz

Abstract---In the digital era, the transformation of vast, heterogeneous data into actionable knowledge stands as a pivotal challenge for organizations striving to maintain competitive and adaptive advantages. This paper explores how artificial intelligence (AI) serves as a catalyst for advancing knowledge management (KM), enabling the conversion of raw data into contextually rich, strategic insights. Traditional KM frameworks, designed for static and structured information, falter in the face of dynamic, unstructured data streams. By integrating AI technologies, organizations can automate data aggregation, enhance contextualization, and personalize knowledge dissemination. However, the adoption of AI-driven KM systems introduces ethical and operational challenges, including algorithmic bias, transparency deficits, and the need to balance autonomy with human oversight. The paper proposes interdisciplinary strategies to address these challenges, emphasizing ethical governance, participatory design, and hybrid human-AI collaboration. Ultimately, the study underscores that AI's role in KM is not to replace human judgment but to augment it, fostering resilient, innovative ecosystems where data-driven insights align with organizational values and societal norms. The findings offer a roadmap for leveraging AI to anticipate challenges, optimize decision-making, and cultivate sustainable innovation in an increasingly complex digital area.

Keywords---artificial intelligence, knowledge management, data transformation, ethical governance, deep knowledge.

How to Cite:
Balbal, H., & Bouchareb, N. (2025). Reshaping knowledge management in the digital age through the integration of artificial intelligence and deep knowledge. *The International Tax Journal*, *52*(3), 681–690. Retrieved from https://internationaltaxjournal.online/index.php/itj/article/view/93

The International tax journal ISSN: 0097-7314 E-ISSN: 3066-2370 © 2025

Submitted: 29 Nov 2024 | Revised: 02 Jan 2025 | Accepted: 09 March 2025

1. Introduction

Over the past decade, data has become a strategic resource as critical as traditional resources like capital and infrastructure. Organizations increasingly rely on data flows to understand their internal and external environments. However, the greatest challenge no longer lies in data collection alone, but in transforming it into actionable knowledge (Weina & Yanling, 2022).

Data is defined as raw representations of events or states without interpretation. It becomes information when organized into a specific context and evolves into knowledge when understood and applied to decision-making. With the emergence of "big data", characterized by volume, velocity, variety, veracity, and value, where traditional data management approaches have proven insufficient. Social media streams, sensor outputs, and digital interactions generate terabytes of unstructured information daily, necessitating intelligent processing tools (Russ, 2021).

In this context, artificial intelligence (AI) represents a pivotal turning point. AI is not merely a set of algorithms but a cognitive system capable of learning, adapting, and extracting patterns from complex data. It has transcended its role in automation to become a cognitive partner that enhances human decision-making, discovers knowledge, and proposes unconventional solutions. A prime example is generative AI, which not only analyzes data but also produces novel content based on prior knowledge patterns (O'Leary, 1998).

Historically, knowledge management (KM) focused on documenting and transferring expertise within organizations (Gupta et al., 2000). Modern approaches, however, integrate AI into all stages of the knowledge lifecycle, from data collection and organization to analysis and intelligent application. Thus, AI becomes a fundamental catalyst for reshaping KM models, enabling organizations not only to respond to change but to anticipate and lead it (Iandolo et al., 2021).

KM has evolved significantly over recent decades, transitioning from document archiving and written practices to more interactive and intelligent systems. Traditional models like SECI emphasized converting tacit knowledge (personal experience) to explicit knowledge (documented) through socialization, externalization, combination, and internalization. Classical KM efforts included content storage, database creation, and expert systems (Weina & Yanling, 2022).

Yet, these models struggle with today's digital realities: diverse, high-velocity, unstructured data. They lack real-time adaptability, user-centric personalization, and dynamic contextual responsiveness. Here, AI emerges as a transformative tool. Beyond automation, it analyzes big data, generates novel insights, and delivers tailored solutions. Using machine learning (ML), natural language processing (NLP), and generative AI, raw data is transformed into understandable information and, ultimately, into deep knowledge that fuels strategic thinking and decision-making (Tian, 2017). Thus, KM shifts from archival systems to intelligent, integrated frameworks where AI drives all knowledge lifecycle stages: collection, analysis, and the creation of contextually relevant knowledge aligned with organizational goals (Jarrahi et al., 2023).

Research Problem: *How can AI systems transform dynamic, heterogeneous data into contextually actionable knowledge while enhancing traditional knowledge management (KM) frameworks and ensuring ethical human-AI synergy?*

Despite the proliferation of data and advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), organizations continue to grapple with transforming voluminous, heterogeneous, and dynamic data into deep knowledge, contextually rich, actionable insights that drive strategic decision-making. Traditional knowledge management (KM) frameworks, designed for static and structured data, lack the agility to process real-time, unstructured information streams or adapt to evolving organizational contexts. Furthermore, the integration of AI into KM often remains superficial, focusing on automation rather than fostering cognitive collaboration between humans and machines. This gap hinders the transition from reactive data analysis to proactive knowledge generation, limiting organizations' capacity to anticipate challenges and innovate.

Study Objectives: This study aims to analyze how AI technologies bridge the gap between raw data and deep knowledge by enhancing contextualization, adaptability, and scalability in KM processes. It proposes a framework for integrating AI across all stages of the knowledge lifecycle to enable dynamic, human-centric decision support. Additionally, the paper identifies critical challenges in deploying AI-driven KM systems, including algorithmic biases and ethical governance, while offering actionable recommendations for organizations to harness AI as a cognitive partner in fostering continuous learning and innovation.

2. AI-Driven Transformation of Data into Actionable Information

Before delving into AI applications for data processing and transformation, it is essential to define artificial intelligence (AI) itself. AI is a branch of computer science focused on designing systems that mimic human intelligence through learning, reasoning, perception, and interaction. It encompasses a wide range of technologies, including machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL), natural language processing (NLP), and computer vision (Deng, 2018; Mian et al., 2024).

The significance of AI lies in its ability to process vast quantities of unstructured data, predict patterns, and deliver precise recommendations, thereby enhancing decision-making efficiency and reducing reliance on repetitive manual labor. AI has become a cornerstone of digital transformation across sectors, from healthcare and education to industry and energy (Davianto, 2022; Pachar et al., 2024).

In the context of knowledge management, artificial intelligence (AI) exhibits key characteristics such as continuous learning from streaming data, reasoning and interpretation capabilities to justify decisions, contextual adaptation through behavioral pattern analysis, autonomy in executing complex tasks without direct intervention, and seamless integration with multiple data sources simultaneously (El Asri et al., 2021; Fteimi & Hopf, 2021; Jarrahi et al., 2023; Taherdoost & Madanchian, 2023). These attributes collectively position AI as a transformative force in data management, forming the foundational step toward constructing knowledge systems that empower decision-makers with rich, accurate information for deriving strategic insights (Shollo & Galliers, 2016).

2.1 Automated Data Aggregation and Preprocessing

Traditional knowledge management systems relied on manual organization, rigid rules, and expert inputs, rendering them slow and limited. In contrast, AI technologies such as NLP, computer vision, and IoT devices enable scalable, continuous, and automated data collection. These tools extract meaningful signals from diverse sources, sensor networks, enterprise systems, digital communities, and customer feedback (Jarrahi et al., 2023; Yu Chung Wang et al., 2022).

AI further improves data preprocessing by automating formatting, eliminating redundancies, detecting anomalies, and addressing missing values. Intelligent systems identify inconsistencies, flag errors, and iteratively refine rules over time. The result is a high-quality dataset ready for analysis, forming the foundation for deep knowledge management processes (Kevin N. Shah et al., 2024; Praneeth Thoutam, 2024). Moreover, automated aggregation reduces the cognitive burden on users and accelerates the transition from raw data to actionable insights (Biem et al., 2015), particularly in fast-paced, complex environments such as finance, healthcare, and smart manufacturing.

2.2 Contextualization and Semantic Enrichment

A critical gap in traditional knowledge management systems is their inability to grasp meaning beyond keywords or fixed classifications. AI addresses this by integrating semantic technologies such as knowledge graphs, ontologies, and language embedding models, which map relationships between entities and concepts (Jarrahi et al., 2023; Oliveira et al., 2024; Sundaresan & Zhang, 2022).

Table 1: AI-Enabled Improvements Across the Knowledge Management Lifecycle

KM Activity	AI-Enabled Improvements	Citations
Creation	AI supports knowledge generation and forecasting, and helps capture tacit knowledge	(Jarrahi et al., 2023; Novalin et al., 2024; Tharayil et al., 2024)
Storage & Retrieval	Semantic indexing, faster and more accurate search, handling unstructured data	(Novalin et al., 2024; Tharayil et al., 2024)
Sharing & Learning	AI-driven collaboration tools, chatbots, and tailored recommendations	(Jarrahi et al., 2023; Sundaresan & Zhang, 2022)
Application	Decision support, process optimization, and adaptive knowledge delivery	(Fowler, 2000; Jarrahi et al., 2023; Novalin et al., 2024)

AI significantly enhances knowledge management (KM) across all key activities. For knowledge creation, it enables tacit knowledge capture and predictive insights (Jarrahi et al., 2023; Novalin et al., 2024). Storage and retrieval benefit from semantic indexing and improved handling of unstructured data (Novalin et al., 2024). In sharing and learning, AI-powered collaboration tools and personalized recommendations optimize knowledge dissemination (Sundaresan & Zhang, 2022). Finally, AI supports decision-making through adaptive knowledge delivery and process optimization (Fowler, 2000; Jarrahi et al., 2023). While these advancements modernize KM systems, they also require careful governance to address potential biases and ensure transparency in automated processes.

These technologies enable contextual tagging, intelligent clustering, and conceptual mapping. For example, a knowledge graph might link customer churn with subscription cancellation, retention strategy, and frequency of support interactions, offering a multidimensional view of the problem. This semantic layer allows AI to recognize patterns, resolve linguistic ambiguities, and tailor responses to context.

By embedding meaning and context, semantic enrichment enables organizations to shift from mere information retrieval to knowledge discovery. Decision-makers receive relevant, timely, and interpretable content, empowering them to act with precision.

3. AI as a Cognitive Partner in Knowledge Generation and Dissemination

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into knowledge management (KM) transcends automation, positioning AI as a cognitive partner that augments human intellect and redefines knowledge workflows. Building on Nonaka and Takeuchi's *SECI model* (1995), which emphasizes tacit-to-explicit knowledge conversion, modern AI systems extend this paradigm by enabling scalable, dynamic interactions between human expertise and machine intelligence (Abdillah et al., 2024; Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995). This section explores two critical dimensions of AI's role in bridging cognitive gaps and fostering adaptive knowledge ecosystems.

3.1 Human-AI Synergy in Tacit Knowledge Capture

Tacit knowledge, rooted in personal experience, intuition, and contextual mastery, has historically resisted codification due to its unstructured and subjective nature. Generative AI and natural language processing (NLP) now offer novel pathways to capture and structure such knowledge. For instance, transformer-based models (Brown et al., 2020) and BERT (Devlin et al., 2018) analyze conversational data, brainstorming sessions, or expert interviews, identifying latent patterns and distilling them into reusable insights.

Empirical studies demonstrate that AI-driven tools, such as IBM Watson's *Discovery* (Liao et al., 2020), can map informal dialogues into taxonomies aligned with organizational ontologies. These systems employ *latent semantic analysis* (Landauer et al., 1998) to infer conceptual relationships, transforming anecdotal expertise into actionable knowledge repositories. Furthermore, reinforcement learning (RL) frameworks enable iterative refinement of captured knowledge, ensuring alignment with evolving organizational goals (Jarrahi et al., 2023). However, challenges persist in preserving the nuance of tacit knowledge, necessitating hybrid approaches where humans validate AI-generated structures (Davenport & Kirby, 2016).

3.2 Dynamic Knowledge Diffusion through Adaptive Networks

Traditional KM systems often struggle with static, one-size-fits-all dissemination strategies, failing to account for heterogeneous user needs. AI-driven adaptive networks, grounded in Social Network Theory (M. Granovetter, 1983; M. S. Granovetter, 1973) and Complex Adaptive Systems (Holland, 1995), address this by personalizing knowledge flows. Recommendation engines, powered by collaborative filtering (Koren et al., 2009) and graph neural networks (GNNs), analyze user roles, historical interactions, and real-time workflows to prioritize contextually relevant content.

For example, platforms like leverage AI to curate personalized learning pathways, while AI-driven channels dynamically route knowledge to teams based on project urgency and expertise gaps. These systems also employ swarm intelligence principles (Bonabeau et al., 1999), enabling decentralized knowledge sharing akin to self-organizing systems. Ethical considerations, such as filter bubbles (Pariser, 2011) and algorithmic transparency, underscore the need for human oversight to balance efficiency with inclusivity (Floridi et al., 2018).

Recent advancements integrate feedback loops inspired by adaptive governance (Folke et al., 2005), where AI systems iteratively refine knowledge-sharing rules based on user engagement metrics and organizational outcomes. However, challenges persist in mitigating information silos, a paradox where hyper-personalization limits cross-disciplinary collaboration. Such approaches highlight the delicate equilibrium between precision and creativity in AI-augmented KM ecosystems.

4. Ethical and Operational Challenges in AI-Driven Knowledge Management

The integration of AI into knowledge management (KM) introduces critical ethical and operational dilemmas, including algorithmic bias, transparency deficits, and the tension between automation and human agency. According to Friedman & Nissenbaum's (1996) framework of systemic bias, AI systems risk perpetuating inequities through skewed data or opaque decision-making, necessitating frameworks like explainable AI (XAI) (Ribeiro et al., 2016) and algorithmic auditing (Diakopoulos, 2016) to ensure accountability. Operationally, over-reliance on AI autonomy threatens epistemic justice, demanding hybrid models that balance machine efficiency with participatory design and ethical governance (Mokander & Floridi, 2021). These challenges underscore the need for interdisciplinary strategies to align AI-driven KM with fairness, transparency, and human-centric values.

Table 2: AI-Driven Knowledge Management Processes

Process Step	**AI/Automation Technology**	**Gaps**	**Challenges**
Data Collection & Aggregation	NLP, IoT, Computer Vision	Handling unstructured data (e.g., social media, sensor streams)	Data silos, preprocessing bottlenecks, cost of real-time data ingestion
Knowledge Generation & Contextualization	Machine Learning, Knowledge Graphs, Generative AI	Capturing tacit knowledge, contextual adaptation to dynamic environments	Model opacity ("black-box" systems), scaling semantic enrichment across domains
Knowledge Dissemination	Adaptive Networks, Recommendation Engines	Balancing personalization with cross-disciplinary knowledge sharing	Filter bubbles, collaboration silos, reliance on small/biased training datasets
Ethical Governance	Explainable AI (XAI), Algorithmic Auditing	Transparency in complex decision-making processes	Balancing autonomy with human oversight, institutional resistance to accountability frameworks

AI-driven knowledge management (KM) enhances data processing, contextualization, and dissemination but faces critical gaps. While NLP and IoT streamline data aggregation, unstructured data and preprocessing bottlenecks hinder scalability. Knowledge graphs and generative AI improve contextual insights but struggle with tacit knowledge capture and "black-box" opacity. Adaptive networks personalize dissemination but risk filter bubbles and collaboration silos. Ethical governance tools like XAI address transparency but require cultural shifts toward accountability. Balancing technical innovation with human oversight remains pivotal to fostering ethical, adaptive KM ecosystems that align efficiency with organizational and societal values.

4.1 Mitigating Algorithmic Bias and Ensuring Transparency

Algorithmic bias, a systemic issue rooted in skewed training data, flawed model assumptions, and opaque decision-making processes, poses a critical threat to the integrity of AI-driven knowledge management (KM) systems. Grounded in Friedman & Nissenbaum's (1996) seminal taxonomy of bias, researchers have demonstrated how biased datasets perpetuate historical inequities. For instance, NLP models trained on corpora reflecting societal stereotypes, inadvertently encode gender or racial biases into knowledge repositories, distorting organizational decision-making. Addressing these challenges requires rigorous algorithmic auditing frameworks, such as Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency in Machine Learning, which advocate for transparency in model design and continuous bias monitoring (Diakopoulos, 2016).

Transparency in AI-driven KM hinges on explainable AI (XAI) methodologies, notably LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) and Shapley Additive Explanations, which demystify "black-box" decisions by mapping input features to outcomes (Lundberg & Lee, 2017; Ribeiro et al., 2016). These tools ensuring stakeholders comprehend how AI systems derive insights from data. However, achieving transparency extends beyond technical fixes; it demands participatory design, where domain experts co-develop models to align with ethical norms and contextual realities.

Ethical governance further necessitates addressing epistemic injustice, where marginalized voices are excluded from knowledge curation. Techniques like adversarial debiasing and counterfactual fairness rebalance representation in training data, while differential privacy (Dwork, 2006) safeguards against discriminatory inferences. Nevertheless, as Floridi (2019) caution, transparency alone cannot resolve systemic inequities without institutional commitment to algorithmic accountability, a framework requiring audits, redress mechanisms, and stakeholder oversight.

4.2 Balancing Autonomy and Human Oversight in Knowledge Systems

The increasing autonomy of AI in knowledge management raises critical concerns about over-reliance on algorithmic decision-making, which risks eroding human agency and accountability. While AI systems excel at processing vast datasets and identifying patterns, their inability to contextualize ethical nuances or navigate unforeseen scenarios necessitates a structured framework for human oversight. Drawing on Floridi's (2019) principle of practices of digital ethic within human-centered AI, we argue that effective knowledge systems must integrate human-in-the-loop (HITL) architectures, where human experts validate critical outputs, refine AI-generated insights, and intervene in high-stakes decisions.

Operationalizing this balance requires robust ethical governance frameworks that delineate clear boundaries for AI autonomy. Accountability mechanisms, such as audit trails and transparency logs, ensure traceability in automated processes, while participatory design practices empower stakeholders to co-define AI's role in workflows. Over-automation not only risks epistemic complacency but also undermines organizational resilience in dynamic environments. Thus, the future of AI-driven knowledge management lies not in replacing human judgment but in augmenting it, a symbiosis where machines handle scalability and humans provide moral and contextual grounding.

4.3 Challenges and Considerations in AI-Driven Knowledge Management

Despite the significant advantages of AI-enhanced models, they face critical challenges that must be addressed to ensure effective implementation:

- **Data Quality and Availability**: AI systems rely on high-quality data, but challenges include fragmented or siloed data systems, inconsistent or error-prone datasets, and difficulties capturing tacit (undocumented) knowledge. For example, outdated or disorganized documents may lead AI systems to generate flawed insights. Solutions require investments in data management and incentives to digitize and share knowledge.
- **Technical Challenges**: Issues such as algorithmic opacity ("black-box" models), the need for advanced infrastructure, integration hurdles between disparate intelligent systems, and inefficiencies in handling heterogeneous data or dynamic contexts.
- **Organizational Barriers**: Cultural resistance to new technologies, employee skepticism, leaders' lack of digital competencies, and the need to redesign workflows to align with AI-driven systems. Fears of human role displacement, particularly among knowledge experts, and doubts about the efficacy of new tools further complicate adoption.
- **Skill Gaps and Training Needs**: Implementing AI in KM demands new skills, such as data science literacy for KM teams and training employees to interact effectively with AI (e.g., query formulation, feedback provision). Without adequate training, organizations risk underutilizing AI or misapplying its outputs.
- **Democratization and Customization**: While AI enables personalized knowledge delivery, it raises concerns about equitable access, exclusion risks, and widening digital divides within organizations.
- **Economic Constraints**: High costs of developing, operating, and maintaining intelligent KM systems, particularly for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) with limited resources.
- **Privacy and Security**: Risks of sensitive knowledge leaks via cloud services or data aggregation practices.
- **Ethical Risks**: Algorithmic bias in knowledge generation, misuse of AI-derived insights, and threats to organizational fairness. Transparent governance frameworks are needed to ensure accountability and prevent unethical or discriminatory decision-making.
- **Knowledge Quality Verification**: Traditional systems rely on human reviews before publishing knowledge. AI systems, however, may propagate errors. Mitigation strategies include periodic human audits, user feedback loops, and confidence thresholds.

5. Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into knowledge management (KM) represents a transformative shift from static, reactive frameworks to dynamic, proactive ecosystems capable of converting vast data streams into actionable insights. By leveraging AI's capabilities in automated data processing, contextual semantic enrichment, and adaptive knowledge dissemination, organizations can transcend traditional limitations and foster innovation at scale. However, this transformation is not without challenges. Algorithmic biases, transparency deficits, and the tension between automation and human agency underscore the need for ethical governance frameworks that prioritize accountability, inclusivity, and human oversight.

The future of AI-driven KM lies in a symbiotic partnership where machines augment human decision-making rather than replace it. This requires balancing technical efficiency with ethical imperatives, ensuring that AI systems not only generate knowledge but also align with organizational values and societal norms. As organizations adopt these technologies, they must invest in interdisciplinary strategies that address skill gaps, cultural resistance, and infrastructural demands while fostering a culture of continuous learning. The journey from data to deep knowledge is not merely a technological endeavor but a strategic and ethical one. By embedding fairness, adaptability, and human-centric principles into AI-driven KM systems, organizations can unlock sustainable innovation, anticipate emerging challenges, and lead in an increasingly complex digital area.

References

Abdillah, A., Widianingsih, I., Buchari, R. A., & Nurasa, H. (2024). The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation. *Learning: Research and Practice*, *10*(1), 121–123. https://doi.org/10.1080/23735082.2023.2272611

Biem, A., Butrico, M., Feblowitz, M., Klinger, T., Malitsky, Y., Ng, K., Perer, A., Reddy, C., Riabov, A., Samulowitz, H., Sow, D., Tesauro, G., & Turaga, D. (2015). Towards Cognitive Automation of Data Science. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, *29*(1). https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v29i1.9281

Bonabeau, E., Dorigo, M., & Theraulaz, G. (1999). *Swarm Intelligence*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780195131581.001.0001

Brown, T. B., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., Agarwal, S., Herbert-Voss, A., Krueger, G., Henighan, T., Child, R., Ramesh, A., Ziegler, D. M., Wu, J., Winter, C., … Amodei, D. (2020). *Language Models are Few-Shot Learners*.

Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016). *Just How Smart Are Smart Machines?* Https://Sloanreview.Mit.Edu/Article/Just-How-Smart-Are-Smart-Machines/.

Davianto, H. (2022). The Advantages of Artificial Intelligence in Operational Decision Making. *Hasanuddin Economics and Business Review*, *6*(1), 24. https://doi.org/10.26487/hebr.v6i1.5082

Deng, L. (2018). Artificial Intelligence in the Rising Wave of Deep Learning: The Historical Path and Future Outlook [Perspectives]. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, *35*(1), 180–177. https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2017.2762725

Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018). *BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805

Diakopoulos, N. (2016). Accountability in algorithmic decision making. *Communications of the ACM*, *59*(2), 56–62. https://doi.org/10.1145/2844110

Dwork, C. (2006). *Differential Privacy*. In: Bugliesi, M., Preneel, B., Sassone, V., Wegener, I. (eds) Automata, Languages and Programming. ICALP 2006. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 4052. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/11787006_1

El Asri, H., Benhlima, L., & Agnaou, A. (2021). *Integrating Artificial Intelligence in Knowledge Management: A Primer* (pp. 516–526). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-62199-5_45

Floridi, L. (2019). Translating Principles into Practices of Digital Ethics: Five Risks of Being Unethical. *Philosophy & Technology*, *32*(2), 185–193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-019-00354-x

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., Luetge, C., Madelin, R., Pagallo, U., Rossi, F., Schafer, B., Valcke, P., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An Ethical Framework for a Good AI Society: Opportunities, Risks, Principles, and Recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, *28*(4), 689–707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5

Folke, C., Hahn, T., Olsson, P., & Norberg, J. (2005). Adaptive Governance Of Social-Ecological Systems. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, *30*(1), 441–473. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.30.050504.144511

Fowler, A. (2000). The role of AI-based technology in support of the knowledge management value activity cycle. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, *9*(2–3), 107–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0963-8687(00)00041-X

Friedman, B., & Nissenbaum, H. (1996). Bias in computer systems. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, *14*(3), 330–347. https://doi.org/10.1145/230538.230561

Fteimi, N., & Hopf, K. (2021). *Knowledge Management in the Era of Artificial Intelligence : Developing an Integrative Framework*. https://doi.org/10.20378/irb-49911

Granovetter, M. (1983). The Strength of Weak Ties: A Network Theory Revisited. *Sociological Theory*, *1*, 201. https://doi.org/10.2307/202051

Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The Strength of Weak Ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, *78*(6), 1360–1380.

Gupta, B., Iyer, L. S., & Aronson, J. E. (2000). Knowledge management: practices and challenges. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, *100*(1), 17–21. https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570010273018

Holland, J. H. (1995). *Hidden Order: How Adaptation Builds Complexity*. Addison-Wesley, Reading.

Iandolo, F., Loia, F., Fulco, I., Nespoli, C., & Caputo, F. (2021). Combining Big Data and Artificial Intelligence for Managing Collective Knowledge in Unpredictable Environment—Insights from the Chinese Case in Facing COVID-19. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, *12*(4), 1982–1996. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-020-00703-8

Jarrahi, M. H., Askay, D., Eshraghi, A., & Smith, P. (2023). Artificial intelligence and knowledge management: A partnership between human and AI. *Business Horizons*, *66*(1), 87–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2022.03.002

Kevin N. Shah, Sandip J. Gami, & Abhishek Trehan. (2024). An Intelligent Approach to Data Quality Management AI-Powered Quality Monitoring in Analytics. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology*, 109–119. https://doi.org/10.48175/IJARSCT-22820

Koren, Y., Bell, R., & Volinsky, C. (2009). Matrix Factorization Techniques for Recommender Systems. *Computer*, *42*(8), 30–37. https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2009.263

Landauer, T. K., Foltz, P. W., & Laham, D. (1998). An introduction to latent semantic analysis. *Discourse Processes*, *25*(2–3), 259–284. https://doi.org/10.1080/01638539809545028

Liao, Q. V., Gruen, D., & Miller, S. (2020). Questioning the AI: Informing Design Practices for Explainable AI User Experiences. *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376590

Lundberg, S., & Lee, S.-I. (2017). *A Unified Approach to Interpreting Model Predictions*.

Mian, S. M., Khan, M. S., Shawez, M., & Kaur, A. (2024). Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML) & Deep Learning (DL): A Comprehensive Overview on Techniques, Applications and Research Directions. *2024 2nd International Conference on Sustainable Computing and Smart Systems (ICSCSS)*, 1404–1409. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSCSS60660.2024.10625198

Mokander, J., & Floridi, L. (2021). *Ethics-Based Auditing to Develop Trustworthy AI*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-021-09557-8

Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovafion*. Oxford University.

Novalin, A., Gunawan, A., & Prihandoko, D. (2024). The Implementation of Artificial Intelligence in Knowledge Management: A Systematic Literature Review. *2024 IEEE International Conference on Interdisciplinary Approaches in Technology and Management for Social Innovation (IATMSI)*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1109/IATMSI60426.2024.10502986

O'Leary, D. E. (1998). Using AI in knowledge management: knowledge bases and ontologies. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, *13*(3), 34–39. https://doi.org/10.1109/5254.683180

Oliveira, G., Argôlo, M., Barbosa, C. E., Oliveira de Lima, Y., Dos Santos, H., Lyra, A., & De Souza, J. (2024). Applying Knowledge Management to Support Artificial Intelligence Chatbot Applications. *European Conference on Knowledge Management*, *25*(1), 582–590. https://doi.org/10.34190/eckm.25.1.2482

Pachar, S., Lakshman, K. N., Latha, Y. L. M., B, L., Isravel, Y. A. D., & Katta, S. K. (2024). Leveraging Ai And Data Analytics For Enhanced Decision-Making In Modern Management Practices. *2024 International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Emerging Communication Technologies (ICEC)*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEC59683.2024.10837057

Pariser, E. (2011). *The Filter Bubble: What The Internet Is Hiding From You*. Penguin Books.

Praneeth Thoutam. (2024). Automated Data Preparation through Deep Learning: A Novel Framework for Intelligent Data Cleansing and Standardization. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, *10*(6), 1867–1877. https://doi.org/10.32628/CSEIT241061231

Ribeiro, M. T., Singh, S., & Guestrin, C. (2016). "Why Should I Trust You?" *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 1135–1144. https://doi.org/10.1145/2939672.2939778

Russ, M. (2021). Knowledge Management for Sustainable Development in the Era of Continuously Accelerating Technological Revolutions: A Framework and Models. *Sustainability*, *13*(6), 3353. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13063353

Shollo, A., & Galliers, R. D. (2016). Towards an understanding of the role of business intelligence systems in organisational knowing. *Information Systems Journal*, *26*(4), 339–367. https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12071

Sundaresan, S., & Zhang, Z. (2022). AI-enabled knowledge sharing and learning: redesigning roles and processes. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, *30*(4), 983–999. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-12-2020-2558

Taherdoost, H., & Madanchian, M. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and Knowledge Management: Impacts, Benefits, and Implementation. *Computers*, *12*(4), 72. https://doi.org/10.3390/computers12040072

Tharayil, S. M., Alshami, R. A., Aljaafari, S. F., & Alnajashi, A. A. (2024, May 7). Transforming Knowledge Management System with AI Technology for Document Archives. *GOTECH*. https://doi.org/10.2118/219313-MS

Tian, X. (2017). Big data and knowledge management: a case of déjà vu or back to the future? *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *21*(1), 113–131. https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-07-2015-0277

Weina, A., & Yanling, Y. (2022). Role of Knowledge Management on the Sustainable Environment: Assessing the Moderating Effect of Innovative Culture. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.861813

Yu Chung Wang, W., Pauleen, D., & Taskin, N. (2022). Enterprise systems, emerging technologies, and the data-driven knowledge organisation. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, *20*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1080/14778238.2022.2039571

Statement: LLM has been used in order to improve the language and readability of the article.